ORIGINAL

NEW APPLICATION



1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMPRESSION
2	AZ CORP COMMISSION
3	COMMISSIONERS BOB STUMP - CHAIRMAN
4	BARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS POR PURNIS
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF) DOCKET NO. E-01933A-13-0183 TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY FOR)
8	APPROVAL OF ITS 2014 ENERGY EFFICIENCY) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND FOR WAIVER)
9	UNDER A.A.C. 414-2-2419.
10	
11	Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") or "Company"), through undersigned counsel,
12	hereby submits its 2014 Energy Efficiency Implementation Plan for Arizona Corporation
13	Commission ("Commission") approval, in compliance with Arizona Administrative Code R14-2-
14	2401, et seq. TEP also requests a waiver under A.A.C. R14-2-2419 of the Energy Efficiency
15	Standard set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-2404.B.
16	TEP hereby requests that the Commission approve TEP's 2014 Energy Efficiency
17	Implementation Plan prior to December 31, 2013 and grant the requested waiver of the Energy
18	Efficiency Standard.
19	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3 rd day of June 2013.
20	Tucson Electric Power Company
21	The state of the s
22	By
23	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
25	Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED and
26	JUN - 3 2013

DOCKETED BY

1 Kimberly A. Ruht Tucson Electric Power Company 2 88 East Broadway Blvd., MS HQE910 P. O. Box 711 3 Tucson, Arizona 85702 4 Attorneys for Tucson Electric Power Company 5 6 Original and 13 copies of the foregoing filed this day of June 2013 with: 7 **Docket Control** 8 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 9 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 10 Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered/mailed this 3 Rd day of June 2013 to: 11 Lyn A. Farmer 12 Chief Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division 13 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 14 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 15 Janice M. Alward Chief Counsel, Legal Division 16 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 17 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 18 Steve Olea Director, Utilities Division 19 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street 20 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 21 Man Sppolits 22 23

24

25

26

27

Bradley S. Carroll

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO. 2014 ENERGY EFFICIENCY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

JUNE 1, 2013

Table of Contents

I.	2014 IMPI	LEMENTATION PLAN EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II.	INTRODU	CTION	3
III.	PROGRAM	M PORTFOLIO OVERVIEW	5
IV.	RESIDEN	ΓIAL PROGRAMS	12
V.	COMMER	CIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROGRAMS	17
VI.	BEHAVIO	RAL PROGRAMS	39
VII.	SUPPORT	PROGRAMS	43
VIII.		MPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	
IX.	DIRECT L	OAD CONTROL PROGRAMS	51
X.	PORTFOL	IO MANAGEMENT	53
XI.	DEMAND	-SIDE TARIFF	56
Attacl	nment A	Methodology for Determining Energy Savings Credit from Codes and Appliance Standards	n Building
Attacl	nment B	Conservation Voltage Reduction Program	
Exhib	it 1	Performance Metrics for Cost Recovery	
Exhib	it 2	Benefit/Cost Detail - All Measures	
Exhib	it 3	Commercial Measures	

I. 2014 Implementation Plan Executive Summary

Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP" or the "Company") hereby submits its 2014 Energy Efficiency Implementation Plan ("EE Plan") for Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") approval, in compliance with Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C") R14-2-2405.

The EE Plan includes a short description of existing Commission-approved Energy Efficiency ("EE") programs, pending EE programs¹, proposed new EE programs and measures, the estimated total cost and cost per kWh (\$/kWh) lifetime reduction for each program, an estimate of the annual kilowatt hour ("kWh") and kilowatt ("kW") savings projected for each program, societal test results for each measure and each program, and details on how each program contributes to the Company's 2014 EE savings goal.

As a result of the current status of the Company's 2011-2012 EE Plan, as well as other economic factors described herein, TEP may not meet the cumulative Energy Efficiency Standard ("EE Standard") set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-2404(B) for 2014. Accordingly, the Company requests a waiver from the 2014 EE Standard in accordance with A.A.C. R14-2-2419(B). The waiver request notwithstanding, TEP will continue to strive to maximize the cost-effective savings achieved for the dollars spent. Table 1.1 illustrates compliance with the EE Standard for the years 2011-2014, with 2013 and 2014 being forecasted based upon projected participation.

Table 1.1 TEP Compliance with EE Standard for Years 2011-2014

Year	Retail Energy Sales (MWh)	Incremental Annual Energy Savings (MWh)	Cumulative Annual Energy Savings (MWh)	Cumulative Annual Savings as a % of previous year Retail Sales	Cumulative EE Standard
2010 ¹	9,291,788				n medical company of the second company of t
20111	9,332,107	139,539	139,539	1.50%	1.25%
2012 ¹	9,264,818	105,655	245,194	2.63%	3.00%
2013 ²	9,210,649	117,548	362,742	3.92%	5.00%
2014 ²	9,283,254	174,036	536,778	5.83%	7.25%

^{1.} Actual sales and savings values

Programs and measures within the EE Plan labeled as 'Pending' are anticipated to be approved in TEP's 2012 Rate Case Order, and therefore this EE Plan considers them as existing programs or measures. If these programs or measures are not approved in TEP's 2012 Rate Case Order, each of the programs or measures labeled as 'Pending' should then be considered by the Commission as a 'New' program or measure. Pending program descriptions can be found in TEP's 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan ("2011-2012 EE Plan") filed January 31, 2011 in Docket No. E-01933A-11-0055.

Summary of Requests:

TEP's EE Plan requests the following:

1. Commission approval of the 2014 EE Plan Budget - approximately \$19 million shown in Table 1.2 and approval of the programs and measures described herein.

^{2.} Forecasted sales and estimated savings values

¹ Pending EE programs and measures are from the Company's 2011-2012 EE Plan, which is currently pending in Docket No. E-01933A-11-0055.

Table 1.2 Summary of Portfolio Costs and Saving

Program Year	Total Program Budget	Annual Energy Savings (MWh)	Lifetime Energy Savings (MWh)	Peak Demand Savings (MW)	\$/kWh (Lifetime)	Portfolio Societal Test Ratio
2014	\$19,084,859	173,978	1,124,821	40.55	\$0.017	2.9

- 2. Commission approval of a new Conservation Voltage Reduction program to enhance the portfolio of Demand-Side Management ("DSM")/EE programs, thus also requiring a waiver from A.A.C. R14-2-2404(H), which states: "An affected utility's energy savings resulting from efficiency improvements to its delivery system may not be counted toward meeting the standard."
- 3. Commission approval of a new Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade program to enhance the portfolio of DSM/EE programs.
- 4. A waiver from A.A.C. R14-2-2404(E) to allow TEP to count energy savings resulting from EE appliance standards, as was approved for UNS Electric (Decision No. 72747, January 20, 2012) and Arizona Public Service ("APS") (Decision No. 73089, April 5, 2012).
- 5. A waiver from A.A.C. R14-2-2404(E) to allow TEP to count toward meeting the EE Standard 100% of the energy savings resulting from updates in EE building codes and EE appliance standards.
- 6. Commission approval of new measures shown in Table 3.2.
- 7. Commission approval for program modifications as summarized in Table 3.1. Additional detail is provided in Section IV-Residential Program, and Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs.
- 8. Commission approval to offer all commercial measures to all customers participating in any commercial program. Additional detail is provided in Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs.
- 9. Commission approval of 2014 performance metrics for cost recovery in accordance with an outline in Exhibit 1, should TEP's Energy Efficiency Resource Plan ("EERP") be approved in TEP's pending 2012 Rate Case (Docket No. E01933A-12-0291).
- 10. Commission approval of this EE Plan on or before December 31, 2013. TEP believes this 2014 EE Plan is prudent, is necessary to the successful implementation of the EE Standard, and is in the public interest.

II. Introduction

TEP has a comprehensive portfolio of programs to deliver electric energy and demand savings. These programs include: i) incentives, direct-install, and buy-down approaches for EE products and services; ii) educational and marketing approaches to raise awareness and modify behaviors; and iii) partnerships with trade allies to apply as much leverage as possible to augment the rate-payer dollars invested. As a result of the timing and delay in approval of the Company's 2011-2012 EE Plan, as well as other economic factors, TEP did not meet the annual or cumulative Energy Efficiency Standard ("EE Standard") set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-2404(B) for 2012 or 2013. With approval to proceed with all existing measures and programs in addition to the new measures and programs included in the 2014 Implementation Plan, TEP will begin to close the deficiency gap and make progress towards meeting the 2020 energy savings goal. TEP does anticipate meeting the 2020 EE savings goal unless interruptions occur that are beyond TEP's control.

A. Implementation Plan, Goals, and Objectives

TEP's high-level efficiency-related goals and objectives for 2014:

- Implement cost-effective EE programs using Commission Staff methodology for determining cost effectiveness;
- Implement a diverse group of programs that provide opportunities for all customers to participate;
- Achieve a cumulative energy savings equal to 5.83% of 2013 retail sales (see Table 1.1);
- Maximize comprehensive cost-effective savings opportunities;
- Utilize www.tep.com to provide TEP customers and contractors with detailed information on the electricity savings opportunities available through the Company's EE programs;
- Promote adoption of increased EE building codes and appliance standards;
- Expand the EE infrastructure in the state by increasing the number of qualified contractors through training and certification in specific fields;
- Use trained and qualified trade allies, such as electricians, HVAC contractors, builders, architects and engineers, to help expand the market for efficient technologies; and
- Create a more informed and educated customer base on how to modify behaviors to use energy more efficiently.

B. Planning Process

TEP's portfolio of programs focuses integrating elements of some of the nation's most successful EE programs into TEP's specifically designed program portfolio to meet the customer's needs. Evaluations, program plans, and EE potential studies were used to develop these programs for TEP. The Company also used a benchmarking process to review the most successful EE programs from across the country, with a focus on successful Southwestern programs to help develop the program portfolio.

C. Portfolio Risk Management

As of May 2013, the Arizona economy is continuing to recover from a severe economic recession. Even though there are modest signs of recovery, the economy will likely continue to present a challenge to participation levels in the near future. TEP recognizes this dilemma and has developed a portfolio of programs to allow for participation at diverse levels. By proposing a multi-faceted and broad portfolio of programs, TEP is attempting to capitalize on the sectors of the market who want to invest in EE, at a cost

point they can afford. This will allow the Company to attempt to meet the Commission's aggressive annual EE Standard.

TEP used the following strategies to minimize perceived risks and produce the lowest cost portfolio of EE programs:

- Implement "tried and true" cost-effective programs that have been successfully applied by other utilities in the Southwest and across the country; and
- Implement cost-effective programs through a combination of third-party Implementation Contractors ("IC") and utility staff. TEP designs programs on the most cost-effective basis.

III. Program Portfolio Overview

Within the EE Plan, programs and measures labeled as 'Pending' are anticipated to be approved in TEP's 2012 Rate Case Order, and therefore this EE Plan considers them as existing programs or measures. If these programs or measures are not approved in TEP's 2012 Rate Case Order, each of the programs or measures labeled as 'Pending' should then be considered by the Commission as a 'New' program or measure. Pending program descriptions can be found in the 2011-2012 EE Plan. Details necessary to confirm the societal test for existing, pending and new measures is provided in Exhibit 2.

The following table provides an overview of the existing, pending and new programs for residential, commercial and industrial ("C&I"), behavioral, and support sectors, as well as notes indicating modifications requested for the 2014 EE Plan. Administrative functions provide support across all program areas. Information on pending and existing program design and proposed new programs or measures is located in Section IV through Section IX.

Table 3.1. TEP Electric Portfolio of Programs with Requested Modification

Program Name	Program Status	Description	Modifications
Residential Sector			
Efficient Products	Existing and Pending	Promotes customer purchases of CFLs, advanced power strips, and EE pool pumps and timers	Request approval to add new measures
Appliance Recycling	Pending	Removes and recycles inefficient refrigerators and freezers	Notification that incentive is increasing to increase program participation
Residential New Construction Existing		Promotes the building of more energy efficient new homes	Notification that baseline EE construction standards and incremental costs are updated to account for adoption of 2012 IECC
Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	Existing	Promotes EE in existing homes	Request approval to add new measures
Shade Tree	Existing	Promotes planting of desert-adapted shade trees in locations designed to enhance EE	Notification that savings and incremental cost have been updated with new analysis
Low-Income Weatherization	Existing	Assists in making low-income homes more energy efficient	No modifications
Multi-Family	Pending	Promotes direct install of EE measures at apartment complexes consisting of five or more dwelling units	No modifications
Commercial Sector			
C&I Comprehensive	Existing	Promotes installation of EE equipment at business customer's facilities and encourages contractors to promote the program	Request approval to add new measures
Small Business Direct Install	Existing	Promotes installation of EE equipment at business customer's facilities by reducing customer's out-of-pocket costs and encourages contractors to promote the program by paying contractors the incentive	Request approval to add new measures
Commercial New Construction Existing		Promotes the installation of EE equipment during the design and construction of any new facility and offers an incentive to assist with additional design assistance that may be necessary	No modifications

Bid for Efficiency	Pending	Customers of project sponsors develop a holistic EE project then bid competitively for incentives within program guidelines	No modifications
Retro-Commissioning	Pending	Promotes existing facilities to use a systematic approach to identify building equipment or processes that are not achieving optimal performance or results	No modifications
Schools Facilities	Pending	Similar to the Small Business Direct Install program, but with a separate budget specifically for school facilities	Request approval to add new measures
Combined Heat and Power	Pending	Promotes existing facilities to use a gas- fired combined heat and power plant to reduce electric consumption	No modifications
Behavioral Sector			11-416-24 0 100
Home Energy Reports	Existing	Energy reports comparing a customer's energy usage to that of their neighbors with similar sized homes using comparable heating and cooling equipment	No modifications
Behavioral Comprehensive	Pending	A variety of educational and behavioral programs, including K-12 student education, direct canvassing, community education, senior education, and CFL giveaways	No modifications
Support Sector			
Consumer Education and Outreach	Existing	Consumer education designed to increase participation in the TEP EE programs and promote changes in behavior to increase EE	No modifications
Residential Energy Financing	Pending	Low interest unsecured loans to help customers install EE measures in existing homes	No modifications
Energy Codes and Standards Enhancement Program ("ECSEP")	Existing	Seeks to improve the level of compliance with existing local building energy codes and appliance standards and supports the periodic updating of these codes and standards	Request approval to allow TEP to also count savings resulting from changes in appliance standards toward meeting the EE Standard, and to count 100% (rather than 33%) of the energy savings resulting from changes in EE building codes and appliance standards
Program Development, Analysis and Reporting Software	Existing	New measure or program design and analysis, and development and maintenance of EE savings tracking software	No modifications
Utility Improvements Sector			
Conservation Voltage Reduction	New	Seeks to reduce energy consumption in distribution systems by maximizing the VAR with computerized control	New pilot program
Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade	New	Seeks to reduce energy consumption in power plants and utility facilities by installing EE pumps, motors, HVAC, lighting and improvements to increase heat rate in generation	New program
Demand Response Sector	T		
C&I Demand Response	Existing	A third party implementation contractor negotiates load reduction agreements with multiple customers and aggregates those customers to provide TEP with a guaranteed load reduction upon request	No modifications

Residential and Small Commercial Demand	Existing	A third party implementation contractor negotiates agreements with residential customers to install switching or special	Notification that this program will be removed from TEP's
Response		thermostats to provide TEP with a	portfolio.
		guaranteed load reduction upon request	

The following table provides an overview of the new measures TEP is requesting approval. New measures are intended to enhance existing or pending EE programs. Details are provided in Section IV-Residential Programs and Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs.

Table 3.2 New Measure Detail

Program Residential Sector	Program Measure	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive per Unit	Estimated Participation	Societal Test
Efficient Products	Residential LED Lights	46 Watt Incandescent	12 Watt LED	\$6.32	3,500	2.06
Efficient Products	Residential 2x Incandescent	79 Watt	50 Watt	\$0.66	2,000	1.70
Efficient Products	Central AC / Heat Pump	13 SEER	ENERGY STAR®	\$300.00	150	3.57
Efficient Products	Ceiling Fan	Conventional	Energy Star [®] Ceiling Fan	\$30.00	100	1.74
Efficient Products	Freezer	Conventional	Energy Star®	\$10.00	25	2.69
Efficient Products	Clothes Washer	Conventional	Energy Star®	\$100.00	200	1.24
Efficient Products	Dishwasher	Conventional	Energy Star®	\$10.00	150	3.82
Efficient Products	Refrigerator	Conventional	Energy Star®	\$20.00	100	2.08
Efficient Products	Room Air Conditioner	Conventional	Energy Star®	\$35.00	75	1.94
Efficient Products	Heat Pump Water Heater	0.90 Efficiency	2.35 COP	\$400.00	20	1.17
Efficient Products	Water Heater Blanket	No Blanket	R-10 Blanket	\$22.00	50	3.33
Existing Homes	HVAC/QI	No QI	With QI	\$250	1,000	1.49
Commercial Sector						
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Canopy LED	458 Watt	108 Watt	\$100.00	300	1.89
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	LED Indoor Lights	56 Watt Incandescent	7 Watt LED	\$20.00	300	1.54
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Refrigeration LED Strip Lighting	32 Watt-T-8	20 Watt LED	\$27.50	50	1.35
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Computer Power Monitoring System	No power monitor	Computer power monitor	\$8.00	2,000	2.73
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Pulse Start Metal Halide - Interior	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Conventional MH Lamp	\$100.00	75	1.45
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Pulse Start Metal Halide - Exterior	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Conventional MH Lamp	\$90.00	75	1.56
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	EMS - HVAC and Cold Deck Reset	No EMS	With EMS	\$0.31	250	1.68
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Variable Refrigerant Flow Systems	Standard Refrigerant Flow	Variable Refrigerant Flow	\$2.00	250	3.07
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Hotel Room HVAC Control	Standard-no sensor	Sensor Control	\$50.00	50	2.26
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	HVAC System test and repair	No test and repair	With test and repair	\$360.00	25	2.31
C&I Comprehensive, Small Business and Schools Facilities	Evaporative Fan Control	Shaded pole motor with no control	EC motor with control	\$75.00	10	1.59

Using Commission Staff's methodology for calculating the Societal Test, and including updated avoided costs, TEP has determined certain measures to no longer be cost effective. TEP proposes to suspend these measures until they become cost-effective again. A summary of the measures that TEP will suspend in 2014 are included in Table 3.3 below. Details are provided in Section IV-Residential Programs and Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs.

Table 3.3 Suspended Measure Detail

Program Residential Sector	Program Measure	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive per Unit	Estimated Participation	Societal Test
Residential Sector	ROB HVAC with					
Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	QI and Duct Sealing –Electric (Performance)	SEER 13	Energy Star	\$1,050	0	0.94
Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	ROB HVAC with QI and Duct Sealing –Dual Fuel (Performance)	SEER 13	Energy Star	\$1,050	0	0.94
Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	Behavioral changes resulting from Energy Assessments	No action	Behavioral Changes	\$350	0	0.35
Residential New Construction	ENERGY Smart Homes - Tier 3	Standard home	HERS <= 45	\$3,000	0	0.22
Commercial Sector						
C&I Comprehensive Program	LED Street and Parking Lights	250 Watt Metal Halide	33 Watt LED	\$75	0	0.58
C&I Comprehensive Program, Small Business	Night Covers	No covers	Covers	\$10	0	0.80
C&I Comprehensive Program, Small Business and Schools Programs	Standard T-8 to Premium T-8	Standard T-8	Premium T-8	\$6	0	0.40

A. 2014 Savings, Budgets, Benefit-Cost Results

This EE Plan presents a continuing portfolio of investments consistent with the requirements of the EE Standard. TEP will continue to monitor projected program funding and participation. The Company may make changes in program level funding as needed. Additionally, incentive levels and other program elements will be reviewed and modified on an annual basis to reflect changes in market conditions and/or implementation processes, so that cost-effective savings can be maximized. Such modifications will be reported in the annual reports submitted to the Commission. Table 3.4 provides cost and savings details per program for 2014. Table 3.5 shows the program budgets by expense category.

Table 3.4 2014 Costs and Savings by Program

	Annual Energy	Coincident Demand		Program Cost per	Program Cost per
There is a second of the secon	Savings at	Savings at	Total	Lifetime	First Year
	Generator	Generator	Program	kWh Saved	kWh Saved
Program Name Residential Sector	(MWh)	(MW)	Budget	(\$/kWb)	(\$/kWh)
Efficient Products	52,146	2.74	\$2,347,872	\$0.01	\$0.05
Appliance Recycling	3.980	0.62	\$608,762	\$0.03	\$0.05
Residential New Construction	1,682	1.34	\$1,429,910	\$0.03	\$0.15
Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install	2,593	2.41	\$2,421,120	\$0.05	\$0.83
Shade Trees	2,393	0.13	\$178,087	\$0.00	\$0.67
Low Income Weatherization	388	0.13	\$303,637	\$0.02	\$0.78
Multi-Family	422	0.13	\$127,801	\$0.04	\$0.78
Sub-Total	61,474	7.28	\$7,417,189	\$0.04	\$0.12
	01,474	7.20	37,417,109	20.01	30.12
Commercial Sector	25,363	6.83	\$3,557,922	\$0.01	\$0.14
C&I Comprehensive Small Business Direct Install	7,340	0.68	\$1,515,900	\$0.01	\$0.14
Commercial New Construction	1,047	0.58	\$280,177	\$0.02	\$0.27
	876	0.38	\$186,928	\$0.02	\$0.21
Bid-For Efficiency - Pilot	876	0.49	\$146,461	\$0.02	\$0.17
Retro-Commissioning	3,986	0.30		\$0.02	\$0.17
Schools Facilities	3,986	0.30	\$894,146 \$2,588	\$0.02	\$0.22
CHP Joint Program - Pilot	39,489	9.37	\$6,584,123	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sub-Total	39,489	9.37	30,584,123	30.01	30.17
Behavioral Sector	9,855	1.82	\$344,535	\$0.03	\$0.03
Home Energy Reports		0.24	·	\$0.03	\$0.03
Behavioral Comprehensive	4,456	_ \	\$790,457		in a commence of the commence
Sub-Total	14,311	2.06	\$1,134,992	\$0.03	\$0.08
Support Sector	····	T 0	0616 700	D.T.A	DIA.
Consumer Education and Outreach	0	0	\$616,720	NA NA	NA NA
Residential Energy Financing	0	0	\$243,864	NA 00.01	NA foot
ECSEP	35,728	1.50	\$324,707	\$0.01	\$0.01
Program Development, Analysis and Reporting	0	0	\$775,000	NA	NA
Sub-Total	35,728	1.50	\$1,960,292	NA	NA
Utility Improvement Sector	009120	1.50	91,700,272	INEX	1424
Conservation Voltage Reduction	2,522	0.34	\$409,396	\$0.01	\$0.16
Generation Improvement and Facilities					
Upgrade	0	0.00	\$28,704	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sub-Total	2,522	0.34	438,100	\$0.01	\$0.16
Demand Response Sector	7)272	7.51		90.04	
C&I Direct Load Control	20,453	20.00	\$1,559,444	\$0.08	\$0.08
Sub-Total	20,453	20.00	\$1,559,444	N/A	N/A
Total	173,978	40.55	\$19,084,859	\$0.017	\$0.11

Table 3.5 2014 Program Budgets by Category

		444		Utility	Measurement	Total
	Incentives	Program Tollivery	Program Marketing	Program Administration	and Evaluation	Program Budget
Program Name Residential Sector	incentives	Denvery	Marketing	Auministration	Evaluation	Duuget
Efficient Products	\$1,505,270	\$543,155	\$143,390	\$90,303	\$65,754	\$2,347,872
Appliance Recycling	\$90,000	\$319,409	\$143,293	\$26,215	\$29,846	\$608,762
Residential New Construction	\$1,050,000	\$190,707	\$75,000	\$61,575	\$52,628	\$1,429,910
Existing Homes and Audit				**************************************		
Direct Install	\$1,692,000	\$589,695	\$68,451	\$23,971	\$47003	\$2,421,120
Shade Trees	\$150,500	\$13,454	\$4,919	\$6,849	\$2,364	\$178,087
Low Income Weatherization	\$232,800	\$27,042	\$15,591	\$11,678	\$16,526	\$303,637
Multi-Family	\$20,767	\$91,816	\$7,881	\$3,722	\$3,614	\$127,801
Sub-Total	\$4,741,337	\$1,775,278	\$458,524	\$224,314	\$217,736	\$7,417,189
Commercial Sector						3
C&I Comprehensive	\$1,856,108	\$1,094,517	\$295,062	\$169,425	\$142,810	\$3,557,922
Small Business Direct Install	\$837,934	\$479,084	\$65,851	\$72,186	\$60,846	\$1,515,900
Commercial New Construction	\$114,484	\$113,207	\$22,769	\$10,419	\$10,018	\$270,897
Bid-For Efficiency - Pilot	\$60,000	\$95,022	\$15,502	\$8,901	\$7,503	\$186,928
Retro-Commissioning	\$88,000	\$40,470	\$6,423	\$5,633	\$5,935	\$146,461
Schools Facilities	\$491,432	\$292,874	\$39,215	\$34,390	\$36,235	\$894,146
CHP Joint Program - Pilot	\$0	\$2,500	\$0	\$88	\$0	\$2,588
Sub-Total	\$3,447,958	\$2,117,672	\$444,823	\$301,042	\$263,348	\$6,574,843
Behavioral Sector						
Home Energy Reports	\$0	\$323,188	\$0	\$11,651	\$9,696	\$344,535
Behavioral Comprehensive	\$235,800	\$417,222	\$75,000	\$30,042	\$32,033	\$790,457
Sub-Total	\$235,800	\$740,410	\$75,000	\$42,053	\$41,729	\$1,134,992
Support Sector				3330	1 6536	1611
Consumer Education and Outreach	\$0	\$108,000	\$485,000	\$23,720	\$0	\$616,720
Residential Energy Financing	\$100,000	\$49,485	\$75,000	\$9,379	\$10,000	\$243,864
ECSEP	\$0	\$259,318	\$0	\$12,489	\$52,901	\$324,707
Program Development, Analysis and Reporting	\$0	\$775,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$775,000
Sub-Total	\$100,000	\$1,191,803	\$560,000	\$45,588	\$62,901	\$1,960,292
Utility Improvement Sector	30,4,00			建筑 图	180	ATT THE STATE OF
Conservation Voltage Reduction	\$0	\$373,482	\$0	\$15,746	\$20,168	\$409,396
Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade	\$0	\$25,000	\$0	\$1,104	\$2,600	\$28,704
Sub-Total	\$0	398,482	\$0	16,850	22,768	438,100
Demand Response Sector	60000	570,102	Ψ	10,000	22,700	100,100
C&I Direct Load Control	\$0	\$1,459,466	\$0	\$59,979	\$40,000	\$1,559,444
Sub-Total	\$0	\$1,459,466	\$0	\$59,979	\$40,000	\$1,559,444
Total	\$8,525,095	\$7,683,110	\$1,538,347	\$689,826	\$648,841	\$19,084,859
1 Ord	90,543,093	\$7,003,110	\$1,550,34/	9007,020	\$070,071	317,004,009

IV. Residential Programs

The following section presents a summary of TEP's residential programs including existing, pending, and new programs and measures, as well as enhancements to existing programs consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R-14-2-2407.

A. Efficient Products

TEP is requesting budget approval and approval to offer new measures in 2014.

Program Description

The Efficient Products program was approved by the Commission in Decision No. 70383 (June 13, 2010). The Efficient Products program (formerly called CFL Buy-Down program) was re-named to recognize that it will serve as the delivery channel to address other efficient products beyond compact fluorescent lights ("CFLs"), and therefore will also promote the purchase of additional EE retail products. This program was expanded to include promotion of EE pool pumps, pool timers, residential LED lighting, and advanced power strips, which, with the exception of residential LED lighting, are pending from the 2011-2012 EE Plan and anticipated to be approved by the Commission in the 2012 Rate Case Order. TEP has conducted a new incremental cost study for residential LED lighting which shows the measure to now be cost effective and requests reconsideration for approval.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The new measures will offer residential customers additional opportunities to reduce their energy consumption. These measures will also further the transformation of the market through retail partnerships, training of retail staff, and increased stocking and selection for efficient retail products.

Eligibility

The program is available to all residential utility customers within the TEP service territory.

New Measures for 2014

Table 4.1 presents new measures and their incentives for 2014.

Table 4.1 Measure Efficiencies, Incentive Level, Participation, and Benefit-Cost²

Program Measure	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive per Unit	Estimated Participation	Societal Test
Residential LED Lights	46 Watt Incandescent	12 Watt LED	\$6.32	3,500	2.06
Residential 2x Incandescent	79 Watt	50 Watt	\$0.66	2,000	1.70
ENERGY STAR® Central AC / Heat Pump	13 SEER	Energy Star	\$300.00	150	3.57
ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan	Conventional	Energy Star	\$30.00	100	1.74
ENERGY STAR Freezer	Conventional	Energy Star	\$10.00	25	2.69
ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer	Conventional	Energy Star	\$100.00	200	1.24
ENERGY STAR Dishwasher	Conventional	Energy Star	\$10.00	150	3.82
ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	Conventional	Energy Star	\$20.00	100	2.08
ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner	Conventional	Energy Star	\$35.00	75	1.94
Heat Pump Water Heater - Residential	0.90 Eff.	2.35 COP	\$400.00	20	1.17
Water Heater Blanket	No Blanket	R-10 Blanket	\$22.00	50	3.33

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP is proposing no significant changes in implementation or delivery strategy except the changes required to address the addition of the new measures. Delivery channels for the new and pending measures will continue to be a combination of buy-downs and possible on-line or mail-in rebates with participating retailers. Advances in online rebate technology have lowered processing costs and extended rebate availability for previously hard to reach areas and consumers.

The program is primarily marketed through mass-market channels (e.g., radio, newspaper, website, etc.) or through education and training of participating retailers.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 1.

² Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure and environmental benefits of new measures is included in Exhibit 2.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The Measure, Evaluation, and Research ("MER") plan is consistent with the previously filed strategy and will include the following:

Program Management

- o In addition to the manufacturer buy-down measures this program will employ mail-in or on-line rebates. Each retailer will collect the data necessary for the rebate processor to verify purchase and eligibility. Copies of invoices or receipts along with other customer data will be collected and maintained by the rebate processor.
- o The IC will validate customer information where necessary and check against manufacturer sales prior to payment of incentive to retailer.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor will verify IC data against manufacturer records.
 - o MER contractor will verify IC data against TEP data.
 - o MER contractor will conduct field verification of measures on a rotating basis (3-year cycle) where applicable.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation on the program will be completed by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review:
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires; and
 - o On-site inspections
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor on various measures within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within the program is completed by the MER contractor to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically conduct a process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs. They will also incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation may include customer satisfaction surveys and suggestions for process improvements.

Other Information

Baseline assumptions for CFLs used for calculating energy savings were updated in 2013 to account for changes from the Energy Independence and Security Act ("EISA"). CFL energy savings were reduced based on EISA, and these reductions have been incorporated into the saving and cost-effective calculations in the 2014 Efficient Products program.

B. Appliance Recycling

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with one modification.

Program Description

The Appliance Recycling program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order to launch in August of 2013. The program targets the removal and recycling of operable, but redundant, refrigerators and freezers. An appliance recycling contractor provides turnkey implementation services that include verification of customer eligibility, scheduling of pick-up appointments, appliance pick-up, incentive fulfillment, and recycling services.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The objective of the program is to produce long-term electric energy savings in the residential sector by permanently removing operable, but redundant, refrigerators and freezers from the power grid and recycling them in an environmentally safe manner. In order to boost participation, TEP is requesting approval to increase the incentive offered to customers from \$30 to \$50, which is consistent with other utilities in Arizona.

Program Eligibility

The program is available to all residential utility customers with operable inefficient refrigerators or freezers that are between 10 and 30 cubic feet. The program, may also apply to small commercial customers, if the size requirements for refrigerators and freezers are between 10 and 30 cubic feet. The program will limit the rebate to two units per year per customer.

Current Baseline Conditions

National studies have found that approximately 20% of customers have at least one secondary inefficient refrigerator or freezer in their home. Most of these units are ten years old or more.

Products and Services

The products and services provided by the program include:

- Free pick-up and recycling of operable inefficient refrigerators or freezers;
- A \$50 customer incentive;
- Education and promotional efforts to inform customers about the energy saving benefits of recycling their older inefficient refrigerators or freezers, including brochures, promotional material, and utility website content;
- Refrigerator and freezer recycling in accordance with established U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") best practice industry standards to ensure optimal levels of recycled material and environmental compliance;
- Working with retailers to distribute information about the program and the energy saving benefits of recycling inefficient refrigerators and freezers;
- Removal and proper disposal of the chlorofluorocarbons ("CFCs") (a potent greenhouse gas used as a blowing agent in older foam insulation products) contained in many older appliances a significant additional environmental benefit of the program; and
- Customer outreach achieved when the recycling contractor leaves behind additional literature and information about other EE DSM programs and opportunities.

Program Modification or New Measures for 2014

No new measures are included for 2014. TEP will change the customer incentive from \$30 to \$50 to increase the level of participation. This is consistent with a request from APS in their 2013 EE Implementation Plan. In addition, Salt River Project ("SRP") has a \$50 incentive and UNS Electric found it necessary to increase their incentive from \$30 to \$50 in order to stimulate participation. The increase in incentive will not impact the cost-effectiveness of the measure.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The program delivery strategy consists of a third party IC who will provide implementation services, including eligibility verification, and scheduling of pick-ups and delivery to proper disposal and recycling centers. The IC will also coordinate prompt processing of incentive payments and marketing of the program.

The strategy for program delivery, incentive processing, and administration is as follows:

- <u>Appliance pick-up/recycling</u>: an IC will be selected to provide comprehensive turnkey implementation services, from eligibility verification and scheduling of pick-ups, to proper disposal and recycling of turned-in appliances.
- <u>Incentive coordination and processing</u>: the implementation contractor will coordinate prompt processing of incentive payments. A prompt incentive payment is essential to retailer/customer satisfaction, thus the IC will establish protocols and service level requirements that expedite payment.

The program is primarily marketed through mass-market channels (e.g., radio, newspaper, website, etc.) and through brochures. Materials carry a strong consumer education message and leverage the ENERGY STAR® brand. The program will also be marketed at retail point-of-sale to increase customer awareness of the program.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 2.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

- Program Management
 - Energy savings estimates are derived from market research and savings estimates in the Energy Star on-line database based on the make and model number of average units harvested.
 - o IC collects necessary customer data and verifies customer eligibility.
 - o IC maintains a real-time on-line dashboard that provides TEP historic participation and projected pick-up schedule.
 - o IC maintains a database providing information on the size and number of recycled units.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor verifies information reported by TEP from ICs on-line database.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation to determine actual energy savings from the prior year is conducted by the MER contractor. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires; and
 - o Analysis and energy simulation.
- Cost research on various measures within the portfolio of EE programs to maintain up-to-date information on measure incremental costs.
- Research as necessary, on various measures within the portfolio of EE programs to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

C. Residential New Construction

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Residential New Construction program is an existing program, approved in Commission Decision No. 71638 (April 14, 2010). Approval for Tier 1 homes is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The goal of the program is to encourage more efficient new home construction than required by current energy codes and to award incentives to builders who build more energy efficient homes. To qualify for an incentive, homes must be tested by an approved energy rater, and meet efficiency criteria based on a Home Energy Rating System ("HERS") Index score. On the HERS index scale, a score of 100 is considered the average efficiency of baseline new construction. A HERS index score of 0 represents a home that produces all of its energy through on-site generation from renewable energy. Therefore, the lower the HERS score, the more efficient the home.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The objectives of the program are to promote EE building practices. This is accomplished through builder training, and customer awareness.

Eligibility

All builders who are licensed, bonded, and insured within the state are eligible to participate in the program, if they are building new residential single family homes, townhomes, duplexes, and triplexes and they agree to the terms of both the Energy Star participation agreement and TEP's participation requirements.

Program Modifications and New Measures for 2014

In 2012 the five jurisdictions³ in TEP's service territory adopted new building energy codes International Energy Conservation Code ("IECC") 2012 to be implemented in 2013. The baseline for Tier 1 homes pending approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order will no longer meet the minimum energy code. TEP has therefore updated the baseline construction standards to meet IECC 2012, reviewed and updated the incremental cost, and re-evaluated the cost-effectiveness of the Residential New Construction program. Multiple tiers will not be used in 2014 and beyond. The updated program will require new homes to meet a minimum HERS score of 65 and the incentive level will be \$1,500/home based on the EE requirement. In addition, a single offering simplifies the program, making it easier to understand and administer.

Other Information

TEP will permanently eliminate the following measures as they are either no longer cost-effective or Commission Staff has not recommended the measure be included in the TEP EE Portfolio.

- Energy Smart Homes Tier 2 (All Electric)
- Energy Smart Homes Tier 2 (Dual Fuel)
- Energy Smart Homes Tier 3

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP provides program management oversight, marketing and is responsible for recruitment, training, and mentorship of participating builders, sub-contractors, data tracking, rebate processing and technical support.

³ Pima County, City of Tucson, Town of Sahuarita, Town of Marana, and Town of Oro Valley

The program is marketed to select builders primarily through direct business-to-business contacts. The program is also marketed to consumers at home shows and other events focused on home-building, as well as advertised through mass-market and targeted media outlets.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 3.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

• Program Management

- o Utility staff completes application and participation agreement with local builders.
- o Utility staff verifies builders are participating Energy Star Builders and familiar with construction standards and testing requirements.
- o Builders contact approved HERS raters to conduct necessary inspections and testing to determine HERS rating.
- o Approved HERS raters run energy modeling on home and determines HERS score.
- Approved HERS raters conduct inspections and testing during construction and reports results to TEP.
- O Post inspection and verification is provided by Residential Energy Services Network ("RESNET") Quality Assurance ("QA") provider and utility technical staff providing Quality Control ("QC") inspections and testing on 10% of homes to validate HERS scores.
- o HERS file is forwarded to MER contractor for validation prior to paying incentive to builders.

• Annual 3rd party review

- o MER contractor collects all HERS files from raters and verifies data.
- o MER contractor compares savings from validated HERS files to savings reported by TEP
- o MER contractor has the option of conducting additional field verification and additional on-site inspections over a 3-year cycle.
- 3rd party impact evaluation is completed by the MER contractor each year to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor to determine the cost for improved construction techniques within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research to evaluate changes in baseline conditions was completed in 2013 due to new codes and standards and will be repeated with subsequent code adoption.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process
 evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and

review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

D. Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with modifications to add one new measure.

Program Description

The Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 72028 (December 10, 2010). The program is anticipated to receive approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program is targeted to existing homes in need of EE improvements. The program was approved with two components: the first component was an initial energy audit, which included direct installation of CFLs and advanced power strips; and the second component was the installation of building envelope air-sealing, duct sealing or high-efficiency HVAC equipment through Building Performance Institute ("BPI") certified contractors. In the initial program request, TEP asked to have two levels of incentives for various measures — one level for prescriptive measures installed without field testing, and a higher level for performance based measures that were tested upon completion.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The program's objective is to achieve energy and demand savings from the installation of EE measures. The program additionally focuses on best building and science principles in an effort to refocus the building industry on EE practices. The program invests in training and mentorship for participating contractors to integrate the "house as a system" philosophy, building science, and BPI certification into their general building practices.

Eligibility

All residential customers in TEP's service territory are eligible to participate.

Program Modifications and New Measures for 2014

The audit and direct install component did not work as originally planned due to software issues. The onsite audit component had a marginal cost-effectiveness when originally filed. Currently the on-site audit does not pass cost-effectiveness using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. TEP plans to discontinue the audit component of this program in 2013 based on 2012 cost-effectiveness review. TEP will continue to evaluate alternate methods delivering individual customer audits in a cost-effective manner.

Due to uncertainty regarding actual energy savings, the program discontinued measures on a prescriptive basis, requiring contractors to submit blower door/duct blaster performance test results on all air sealing, duct sealing, and HVAC measures. This ensures better accountability for the contactors and allows greater confidence in energy savings realized.

Due to updates in savings and incremental costs and a change in the methodology for determination of utility avoided costs, the equipment component on the Replace-on-Burnout ("ROB") options for both prescriptive and performance measures were no longer cost effective. TEP has therefore suspended the ROB options from the program, and ROB equipment will be incented through the new HVAC/QI measure discussed below.

TEP recognizes the value of quality installation when any new equipment is installed and proposes to significantly expand the HVAC/QI requirements. In order to qualify for the HVAC/QI incentives, contractors will now be required to record many data points related to the actual installed refrigerant charge and air-flow on all equipment regardless of SEER. Data provided by contractors will be reviewed

to determine if equipment is installed according to manufacturer specifications and on-site testing will be provided on a random sample of units. Contractors will receive a \$250 incentive for each unit that undergoes quality installation and is validated to meet manufacturer's recommendations for refrigerant charge and air-flow. TEP will continue to train contractors on proper HVAC installation to expand the resource list of trained contractors in the area.

This measure has been determined to be cost-effective using the following information.

Measure	Baseline Description	EE Case Descripti	(3 Unit	Annua Energy Saving (kWh	y Demand s Savings	Useful Life (Years)	Incremental Unit Cost	Non- Incentive Program Cost	2014 Participatio	Societal Test
HVAC/QI	No QI	With QI	Per Unit	713	0.56	10	\$330	\$137	1,000	1.49

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP provides program management oversight, marketing and is responsible for: i) recruitment, training, and mentorship of participating contractors; ii) data tracking; iii) rebate processing; and iv) technical support.

TEP provides program marketing and customer awareness-building through website promotion, community interest groups, mass-market channels (e.g., radio, newspaper, etc.), brochures, bill inserts, high bill inquiries, trade ally marketing efforts, contractor enrollment, and training.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's new 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 4.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

• Program Management

- o Installation Contractors must meet specific program standards including good standing with the Arizona Registrar of Contractors, a B or higher rating with the Better Business Bureau, specific insurance requirements, and the use of BPI certified installers or installation supervision.
- o Pre-application and pre-inspection are provided by installing contractors for items such as insulation, air-sealing, duct-test and repair and HVAC replacement.
- Installing contractor must complete a detailed program application providing data on preand post-installation conditions.
- o Installing contractors provide copies of testing, copies of invoices showing purchase and installation of equipment, and verification of installation.
- Utility staff provides verifications of contractor testing on 10% of installations.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all applications and test results to verify installing contractor's data.
 - o MER contractor compares TEP reported information to data collected from installing contractors.
 - o MER contractor provides field verification on a random sample of installations on a rotating basis (3-year cycle).

- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor on each measure to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor on various measures within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within the program is completed by the MER contractor to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

E. Shade Tree

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

This is an existing program that initially started in 1993. The program was updated and approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70455 (August 6, 2008). Approval for the program is anticipated in the pending 2012 Rate Case Order. The program promotes energy conservation and environmental benefits by incentivizing customers to plant desert-adapted trees in targeted locations where the trees will provide shade to habited dwellings, thus reducing air conditioning load.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The primary objective of the program is to promote the strategic planting of trees to provide shade, thereby reducing the cooling load of homes and associated energy usage. The program also educates school-age children and the public on the conservation and environmental benefits of planting trees.

Eligibility

The program is open to all residential customers in TEP service territory living in single family detached homes, townhomes, and mobile homes. Small business, schools and community organizations can also receive shade trees through this program but must follow all the program planting and tree type requirements. Each residence can receive up to two trees per year or four trees if the home has single pane glass or was built prior to 1980. The trees must be desert adapted, low water use (a list is available) and must be planted on the West, East or South side and within 15 feet of an occupied structure.

Program Modifications and New Measures for 2014

Initial energy savings for the Shade Tree program was determined in 2008 using the <u>Southwest Community Tree Guide</u> by Gregory McPherson. In 2013, TEP studied the results of MER work for the APS shade tree program which shows significantly lower savings per tree planted in the Phoenix area than TEP was reporting in the Tucson area. TEP initiated discussions with its 3rd party evaluator, and based upon new analysis has reduced energy savings to 56 kWh/year per tree. TEP has also adjusted incremental costs and maintenance costs and used all these adjustments in its 2014 benefit/cost analysis.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

Program delivery is provided by Trees for Tucson, a non-profit organization, and consists of a customer completing an application and sending it to Trees for Tucson. Trees for Tucson then delivers approved trees to the customer and also provides written information on proper planting, care, and maintenance. The customer pays \$8.00 per tree. TEP employees currently inform customers about the program during speaking engagements and outreach presentations, website promotion, presentations at schools, and tree care workshops.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, and non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 5.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

- Program Management
 - Trees for Tucson collects necessary data and verifies customer information through the application process.
 - o Trees for Tucson delivers trees to customers and provides planting and maintenance information.
 - o Post inspection and verification of installation is completed by utility staff on 10-15% of all installations.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - MER contractor provides desk review and telephone calls to customers for verification of Trees for Tucson data.
 - o MER contractor provides desk review and telephone calls to customers for verification of TEP's data.
 - MER contractor provides field verification on a random sample of actual installations on a rotating basis (3-year cycle).
- 3rd party evaluation was completed by the MER contractor in 2013 for shade trees to determine deemed savings estimates, and the updated information is used in the 2014 EE Plan. Impact evaluation used the following analysis techniques:
 - Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and/or energy simulation; and
 - o Results from utility on-site inspections.
- Cost research for Shade Trees was completed in 2013 to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs and is used in the 2014 EE Plan.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation will include customer satisfaction surveys.

F. Low-Income Weatherization

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Low Income Weatherization ("LIW") program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70456 (August 6, 2008). Approval for the program is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The LIW program helps conserve energy and lower utility bills in TEP households with limited incomes. Weatherization measures fall into four major categories: i) duct repair; ii) pressure management/infiltration control; iii) attic insulation; and iv) repair or replacement of non-functional or hazardous appliances. Weatherization is conducted in accordance with the Weatherization Assistance Program ("WAP"), a program funded by the U.S. Department of Energy. Household income and participation guidelines will be consistent in an on-going manner with current policy criteria used by the Governor's Office on Energy Policy ("GOEP").

Program Objectives and Rationale

The main objectives of the program are to lower low-income customers' energy consumption in conjunction with GOEP and WAP rules, as well as to increase the number of homes weatherized annually. Program funds provide up to \$3,000 per residence to be used for EE weatherization measures, equipment replacement and/or repair, etc. Community action agencies are allowed to use up to 25% of their annual budget for Health and Safety related repairs. Agencies may request a waiver of the \$3,000 limitation on a case-by-case basis.

Eligibility

Program participants must be customers of TEP with incomes that fall within the Low-income Home Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP") low-income qualifications. TEP will administer qualification criteria according to LIHEAP qualifications, which may change from time to time. Customers must be approved by a participating agency within TEP's service territory.

New Measures for 2014

No new measures are included for 2014.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The program is delivered by community action agencies approved by the GOEP. Agencies in Tucson include Pima County Community Services ("PCCS") and Tucson Urban League ("TUL"). Both provide program administration, planning, promotion, and verification of participant eligibility, as well as labor, materials, equipment and tracking software. Funding is provided to both agencies from TEP upon documentation of work completed.

Due to the popularity of the program, advertising and promotion occurs primarily through community action agency partners that deliver presentations to community organizations, leave information at neighborhood community and recreation centers, or respond to calls directed from TEP. TEP also promotes the program through its website and provides information during speaking engagements and outreach presentations.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 6.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

• Program Management

- o Agencies collect necessary customer data through the application process and verify weatherization measures and cost.
- o Agency inputs data into an on-line tracking tool maintained and administered by GEOP.
- o TEP is provided 'read-only' access to the on-line tracking tool in order to track jobs and savings.
- o Agencies complete test in (blower door, audit, etc.) and test out (blower door, duct test, etc.).
- o Agencies bill TEP for work completed, and TEP verifies work in on-line tracking tool prior to payment.
- Agency contractors are required to meet certain qualifications to participate.

• Annual 3rd party review

- o The GEOP completes 100% desk review of all of the low-income projects submitted by the agencies.
- The GEOP completes desk review and telephone calls to customers for verification of agency data.
- o Post inspections and field verifications of installations are completed by the GOEP on 10% the homes.
- o Annual 3rd party impact evaluation for the LIW program is provided by GOEP after they complete state-wide evaluation of actual energy savings using bill-analysis techniques.

G. Multi-Family

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no modifications.

Program Description

The Multi-Family program is a pending program from TEP's 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The Multi-Family program is anticipated to gain Commission approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program targets multi-family buildings with five or more dwelling units to install CFLs and low-flow water devices. Additionally, multi-family facility managers are encouraged to partake in the C&I Comprehensive program, which promotes EE measure installation for the common areas.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The EE potential in the multi-family housing market remains largely underutilized and represents a significant potential to increase the Company's program portfolio. Because of various market barriers, such as split incentives, capital constraints, and lack of awareness, EE improvements typically fall far below on a multi-family housing unit's priority list. Through the direct installation and renovation/rehabilitation implementation framework, this program fills the gap and provides substantial energy savings.

The objectives of the program are: i) to reduce peak demand and overall energy consumption in the multifamily housing market; ii) to promote EE retrofits for both dwelling units and common areas; and iii) to increase overall awareness about the importance and benefits of EE improvements to the landlord and property ownership community.

Program Eligibility

All existing multifamily housing complexes and new construction projects within TEP service territory with five or more dwelling units are eligible for the program. The program promotes EE improvements in both dwelling units and common areas. Eligible projects include acquisition, renovation and rehabilitation projects, and EE retrofits to existing facilities. Eligible facilities include apartment complexes and common areas of apartment and condominium complexes. All TEP customers who are property owners of existing residential multifamily complexes with five or more dwelling units are eligible for the program.

New Measures for 2014

No new measures are included for 2014.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

Program delivery is provided by TEP staff. To encourage EE upgrades, major renovation and rehabilitation projects, as well as EE retrofits of existing structures, the program will initially offer the following delivery tracks:

- A direct installation of selected low-cost EE improvements in existing complexes; and
- Common area EE improvements in existing complexes will be handled through the C&I Facilities program.

As the program develops, TEP will examine a third track to encouraging more dwelling unit EE improvements in existing complexes that are not part of major renovation/rehabilitation projects.

Marketing and communications strategies include notifying complex managers and owners through updates to the website, training seminars, call center on-hold messages, direct mail promotion, outreach to rental housing industry associations, and working with contractors and industry specialists. Primary emphasis is placed on low-income, subsidized housing complexes and on larger, older, and less energy efficient complexes.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 7.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

- Program Management
 - Utility staff contacts owners/managers of multi-family complex and collects necessary application data.
 - o Utility staff provides pre-installation inspection to verify number of eligible measures.
 - o Utility staff delivers products to facility manager for the multi-family complex with proper instructions for installation.
 - o Utility staff collects information about measures being removed and tracks measures installed to enable accurate accounting of energy savings.
 - o Post inspection and verification of installation is conducted on a random sample of apartment units by utility staff.

- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all information from deliveries, installations, and inspections for verification.
 - o MER contractor may conduct another random sample field verification of items installed.
- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires; and
 - o On-site inspections.
- Cost research is provided by the MER contractor on measures within the Multi-Family program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary is provided by the MER contractor on measures within the programs to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

V. Commercial and Industrial Programs

The following section presents a summary of TEP's commercial and industrial ("C&I") programs including existing, pending, and new programs and measures, as well as enhancements to existing programs consistent with the requirements of A.A.C. R-14-2-2407.

A. C&I Comprehensive

TEP is requesting budget approval and approval to offer additional measures in 2014.

Program Description

Originally called the Non-Residential Existing Facilities program, the C&I Comprehensive program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70403 (July 3, 2008). Approval for the program is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program offers incentives for a select group of retrofit and replace-on-burnout ("ROB") EE measures in existing facilities. Eligible participants include small and large commercial customers and schools. The programs offer incentives for the installation of EE measures including: lighting equipment and controls; HVAC equipment; motors and motor drives; compressed air; refrigeration measures; appliances; and plug load devices.

The incentive levels for C&I measures represent the weighted result of the average incentive for a measure, which varies depending on the tons or horsepower of the equipment being rebated. The actual incentive for a particular measure may vary due to the size of the equipment being installed. With the exception of custom measures, the incentive levels for the C&I Comprehensive program have been designed to not exceed 75% of incremental costs. Incentives for custom measures are limited to 50% of incremental costs.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The C&I Comprehensive program is designed to address barriers of entry for this market segment, including issues of limited investment capital, limited awareness of energy cost savings, and required short-term payback. The program's purpose is to persuade large business customers to install high-efficiency equipment at their facilities and encourage contractors to promote the program.

Eligibility

Program eligibility is open to all existing commercial customers within TEP's service territory who are interested in installing retro-fit or replacement EE measures to increase EE of the facility and reduce costs. This program is targeted to the large commercial and industrial customer because TEP has separate programs and budgets for small business and schools facilities customers. However, small business customers and school facilities would be allowed to participate in the C&I Comprehensive program, as long as funds are available.

New Measures for 2014

Table 5-1 presents new measures to be incentivized by the program in 2014.

Table 5-1. Measure Efficiencies, Incentive Level, Participation, and Benefit/Cost Ratio

Program Measures	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive	Estimated Participation	Societal Test
Canopy LED	458 Watt	108 Watt	\$100.00	300	1.89
LED Indoor Lights	56 Watt Incan	7 Watt LED	\$20.00	300	1.54
Refrigeration LED Strip Lighting	32 Watt-T-8	20 Watt LED	\$27.50	50	1.35
Computer Power Monitoring System	No power monitor	Computer power monitor	\$8.00	2,000	2.73
Pulse Start Metal Halide - Interior	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Conventional MH Lamp	\$100.00	75	1.45
Pulse Start Metal Halide - Exterior	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Conventional MH Lamp	\$90.00	75	1.56
EMS - HVAC and Cold Deck Reset	No EMS	With EMS	\$0.31	250	1.68
Variable Refrigerant Flow Systems	Standard Refrigerant Flow	Variable Refrigerant Flow	\$2.00	250	3.07
Hotel Room HVAC Control	Standard-no sensor	Sensor Control	\$50.00	50	2.26
HVAC System test and repair	No test and repair	With test and repair	\$360.00	25	2.31
Evaporative Fan Control	Shaded pole motor-no control	EC motor with control	\$75.00	10	1.59

Other Information

The following existing and pending measures are very close to meeting the Societal Test with a value of 0.93 or better. If the Commission were to consider the non-energy benefits that are not monetized as part of Commission Staff's approved methodology and/or if the Commission were to use the discount rate that is used as an industry standard in analysis of the Societal Test, these measures would exceed a Societal Test of 1.0 or better. TEP is therefore requesting approval of these measures for 2014. Commission Staff has historically recommended measures that fall just below a benefit/cost ratio of 1.0 because they do not monetize the non-energy benefits.

0	18 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	SCT = 0.99
0	LED Pedestrian Signals	SCT = 0.95
0	15 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	SCT = 0.99
0	16 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	SCT = 0.93

TEP will suspend the following existing and pending measures in 2014 because they are no longer cost-effective. TEP will however, continue to evaluate cost-effectiveness in future years using updated avoided cost, updated incremental costs, etc. TEP will then exercise the option of including the measures when they pass the benefit/cost test in the future.

- o Coin-Operated Washing Machines (Advanced)
- o LED Street and Parking Lights
- o Night Covers (for small refrigerating cases)

TEP will permanently eliminate the following measure as it is no longer cost-effective and TEP does not anticipate a change in the future.

o Standard T-8 to Premium T-8 Lighting

Since 2008, cost-effective measures have been approved by the Commission for the C&I Comprehensive program, Small Business Direct Install program, and recommended for approval in the pending Schools Facilities program. TEP is requesting approval to offer all previously approved commercial measures, no matter what commercial program, for inclusion in all TEP commercial programs. This will improve efficiency by maintaining consistency for contractors and customers. This will also reduce the time and cost of updating multiple measure analysis worksheets and will reduce the overall confusion of identifying which measures have been adopted in each program. TEP will continue to maintain separate budgets for each commercial program to better track activity, incentive payments, etc. A detailed list of all commercial measures either pending or previously approved by the Commission is included in Exhibit 3.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The C&I Comprehensive program is offered to large commercial customers through either self-install or utilizing an installing contractor. Contractors work with individual customers and the customers receive incentive payment after installation of EE equipment. The program also provides consumers and trade allies with educational and promotional pieces designed to arm decision makers in the commercial market with the ability to make informed choices.

The marketing strategy includes education seminars tailored to the business market, website promotion, outreach and presentations at professional and community forums, and direct outreach to customers.

Cost-Effectiveness

Most measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, and non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 8.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

• Program Management

- o IC collects customer and installing contractor data from application and determines program eligibility.
- o IC verifies measures for each job and collects measure cost from installing contractor invoices.
- o IC performs pre-application inspections and provides final approval to installing contractors.
- o IC provides post inspection and verification of installation.
- o Installing contractors are required to meet certain qualifications to participate.
- o Utility staff assists with post inspection and verification of installations for IC as appropriate.
- o IC collects information on all energy saving installations and maintains information in tracking data base. Information includes deemed or calculated energy and demand savings, installation date, baseline equipment, EE equipment, hours of operation, facility type, incremental cost of equipment, incentive amount, and incentive payment date.

- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor provides certification of IC data.
 - o MER contractor provides certification of TEP data.
 - o MER contractor provides random field verification of measures on a rotating basis (3-year cycle).
- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review:
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires:
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor on various measures within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within the program is completed by the MER contractor to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process
 evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and
 review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction
 surveys.

B. Small Business Direct Install

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program and approval to offer additional measures in 2014.

Program Description

The TEP Small Business Direct Install program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70457 (August 6, 2008). Approval for the program is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program is open to participation by all existing small commercial customers in the TEP service territory. The program provides incentives for a select group of retrofit and ROB EE measures in existing small businesses, including high-efficiency lighting equipment upgrades, high-efficiency HVAC equipment, lighting controls, programmable thermostats, and selected refrigeration measures. The direct install component utilizes an on-line proposal generation and project tracking application to reduce the transaction costs. TEP pays incentives up to 90% of incremental costs. Small businesses can also participate in the C&I Comprehensive program with reduced incentive amounts.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The primary goal of the program is to encourage small commercial customers in TEP's service territory to install EE measures in existing facilities. More specifically, the program is designed to:

- Encourage installation of high-efficiency lighting equipment and controls, HVAC equipment, energy-efficient refrigeration system retrofits, etc.;
- Encourage contractors to promote the program and provide turn-key installation services to small business customers;
- Assure the participation process is clear, easy to understand and simple; and
- Increase the awareness and knowledge of facility managers and other decision makers on the benefits of high-efficiency equipment and systems.

Eligibility

Program eligibility is open to all commercial customers within TEP's service territory taking service under a small commercial rate tariff. These customers are also allowed to participate in the C&I Comprehensive program, as long as funding is still available.

New Measures for 2013

The proposed new measures for the Small Business Direct Install program are identical to the new measures proposed for the C&I Comprehensive program (listed above in Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs, A. C&I Comprehensive).

Other Information

Since 2008, cost-effective measures have been approved by the Commission for the C&I Comprehensive program, Small Business Direct Install program, and recommended for approval in the pending Schools Facilities program. TEP is requesting approval to offer all previously approved commercial measures, no matter what commercial program, for inclusion in all TEP commercial programs. See Section V, subsection A, C&I Comprehensive, Other Information for further detail.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP has assigned an in-house program manager to oversee the program and provide guidance on program activities consistent with TEP's goals and customer service requirements. The IC working with TEP provides the primary contact for small business customers. The IC is responsible for application and incentive processing, monitoring the installation contractors, participation tracking and reporting, and overall quality control and management of the delivery process.

The marketing and communications strategy is designed to inform small business customers about how they can participate and realize the benefits of the program. The strategy includes specific outreach to customers and contractors who complete retrofit projects for small business. Another important component of the marketing plan is a focus on the content and functionality of the TEP website, which directs customers to information about the program.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 9.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan is consistent with the previously filed strategy and will include the following:

• Program Management

- o IC collects customer and installing contractor data from application and determines program eligibility.
- O IC verifies measures for each job and enters them into the small business database. The cost data for each measure is collected from contractors and built into the database in advance.
- o IC performs pre-application inspections and provides final approval to installing contractors.
- o IC provides post inspection and verification of installation.
- o Installing contractors are required to meet certain qualifications to participate.
- O Utility staff assists with post inspection and verification of installations for IC, as appropriate.

- o IC collects information on all energy saving installations and maintains information in tracking data base. Information includes deemed or calculated energy and demand savings, installation date, baseline equipment, EE equipment, hours of operation, facility type, incremental cost of equipment, incentive amount and incentive payment date.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor provides certification of IC data.
 - o MER contractor provides certification of TEP's data.
 - o MER contractor provides random field verification of measures on a rotating basis (3-year cycle).
- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- Cost research on various measures within the Small Business program is completed by the MER contractor each year to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor on various measures within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within the program is completed by the MER contractor to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

C. Commercial New Construction

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Commercial New Construction program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70459 (August 6, 2008). Approval for the program is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Customers are provided incentives for building new commercial facilities that exceed ASHRE 90.1 Standard 2004 version. The incentive is calculated at \$0.10/kWh for the first year reduction in kWh.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The primary goal of the program is to encourage more energy efficient new building design for non-residential projects in TEP's service area. This objective is reached by providing incentives to building owners/developers to design and build more energy efficient buildings and offering assistance to design teams to offset the additional cost and time of exploring more energy efficient design. The program helps overcome market barriers, such as increased upfront cost of an integrated design approach, lack of awareness and knowledge about the benefits of a more energy efficient building, and the cost and the performance of EE measures. It encourages building owners/developers and the design community to consider EE options as early in the design process as possible.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures in the Commercial New Construction program.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

There are no significant changes in implementation approach, delivery or marketing strategy for the items in this program.

Cost-Effectiveness

It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 10.

Other Information

In 2012 the five jurisdictions⁴ in TEP's service territory adopted new building energy codes (IECC 2012) to be implemented in 2013. Because adoption rates vary, it is anticipated that full enforcement of the new codes will phase in slowly during the following year. As a result of adoption of the new building codes, TEP will evaluate the impact from a new baseline for construction of commercial buildings and will file an update in its 2015 EE Plan. TEP will continue to evaluate cost-effectiveness in future years using updated avoided cost, updated incremental costs, etc.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

- Program Management
 - o IC collects necessary data for application and verifies that all necessary information is provided by the customer.
 - IC compares the building design to ASHRAE 90.1 Standard 2004 version and verifies analysis of energy savings and estimated cost.
 - o IC conducts post installation inspection and verification of installation.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all information on completed jobs for verification of implementation contractor's data.
 - o MER contractor may conduct additional field verification of jobs participating in the program.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation for the Commercial New Construction program is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

⁴ Pima County, City of Tucson, Town of Sahuarita, Town of Marana, and Town of Oro Valley

D. Bid for Efficiency Pilot Program

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications. Program Description

The Bid for Efficiency ("BFE") Pilot program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. Approval for the program is anticipated in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program is designed to take an innovative approach to EE by using elements of competition and the potential for high rewards to enhance customer interest. Customers or project sponsors can conceive their own EE projects and then bid competitively for incentives within program guidelines. TEP selects winning applicants based on specified criteria.

BFE participants and project sponsors include commercial customers, Energy Service Companies ("ESCOs") or other aggregators who organize proposals that involve multiple sites. The BFE Pilot program offers solutions to the typical customer barriers to entry, such as small savings levels at multiple sites, longer payback periods, and organizing contractors, as well as offering a simplified application process. Results will be verified through MER activity.

Pilot program results will be evaluated after 2014. If the market response and measure savings indicate the program is cost effective, TEP will offer the full program in its 2016 EE Implementation Plan.

Program Objectives and Rationale

BFE encourages customers and project sponsors to think creatively and to develop projects designed to optimize system energy use as a whole, rather than considering the energy usage of each individual piece of equipment. The program fosters customer-driven project activity (e.g., customers select appropriate measures and professionals to implement measures), and encourages the implementation of comprehensive, multi-measure projects.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures in the BFE program.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The program is delivered through an IC. TEP markets the program directly to key customers and aggregators. Particular emphasis is paid to key market sectors such as grocery and convenience stores. TEP, and/or its IC, conducts informational meetings with potential participants and project sponsors to explain the program rules and encourage participation.

Cost-Effectiveness

It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 11.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

• Program Management

- o IC collects necessary data for application and verifies that all necessary information is provided by the customer.
- o IC compares individual bids and verifies analysis of energy savings and estimated cost from each bid.
- IC selects jobs based on the lowest cost per kWh reduction and notifies applicants of award.
- o IC conducts post installation inspection and verification of installation.

- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all information on completed jobs for verification of IC data.
 - o MER contractor may conduct additional field verifications of jobs participating in the program.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation for the BFE program is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - Metering.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process
 evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and
 review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction
 surveys.

F. Retro-Commissioning

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Retro-Commissioning ("RCx") program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the pending 2012 Rate Case Order. The program uses a systematic approach to identify building equipment and processes that are not achieving optimal efficiency in existing facilities. Eligible program applicants receive free screening energy audits. Participants also receive training to ensure proper operating and maintenance practices over time.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The RCx program seeks to generate significant energy savings by returning existing equipment to an efficient operating condition. The program delivers customer benefits by lowering energy bills and improving building performance and occupant comfort while reducing maintenance calls. The program develops an RCx contractor pool, and enables TEP to build relationships with C&I customers, thus leading to other areas of participation in TEP's portfolio of EE programs. RCx programs in other utility service territories have delivered average energy savings in the range of 5-15% per facility, and measures implemented as a result of the program's activity typically pay for themselves in less than two years.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures in the RCx program.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The RCx program is marketed using traditional forms of media (e.g., print, web, newsletters, etc.), as well as targeted direct mail and outreach to engineering and trade associations. TEP and the IC also reach out directly to contractors who currently are, or could be, practicing in this area. The TEP website has been updated to include information and links for participation. TEP account managers have been utilized to reach out to larger customers to encourage participation.

Cost-Effectiveness

It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 12.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan will include the following:

- Program Management
 - o IC collects necessary data for application and verifies that all necessary information is provided by the customer.
 - o IC conducts post installation inspection and verification of installation.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all information on completed jobs for verification of IC data.
 - o MER contractor may conduct additional field verifications of jobs participating in the program.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation for the RCx program is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review:
 - Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

C. School Facilities

TEP is requesting budget approval and approval to offer additional measures in 2014.

Program Description

The TEP School Facilities program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program is open to participation by existing K-12 school facilities in the TEP service territory, including charter schools. The program utilizes the same delivery method and pays incentives for the same DSM measures as the TEP Small Business Direct Install program, but with a separate budget reserved for schools. The program offers incentives for a select group of retrofit and ROB EE measures in existing K-12 school facilities. The efficiency measures include high-efficiency lighting equipment upgrades, high-efficiency HVAC equipment, lighting controls, programmable thermostats, and selected refrigeration measures. The direct install component utilizes an on-line proposal generation and project tracking application to reduce the transaction costs. TEP pays incentives up to 100% of incremental costs for participating schools. Schools can also participate in the Small Business Direct Install or the C&I Comprehensive programs with reduced incentive amounts.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The primary goal of the program is to encourage K-12 schools in TEP's service territory to install EE measures in existing facilities. More specifically, the program is designed to:

- Encourage schools to install high-efficiency lighting equipment and controls, HVAC equipment, and energy-efficient refrigeration system retrofits;
- Encourage contractors to promote the program and provide turn-key installation services to schools:
- Assure the participation process is clear, easy to understand and simple; and
- Increase the awareness and knowledge of school facility managers and other decision makers on the benefits of high-efficiency equipment and systems.

Eligibility

Program eligibility is open to all existing K-12 school facilities, including charter schools, within TEP's service territory.

New Measures for 2014

The proposed new measures for the Schools Facilities program are identical to the new measures proposed for the C&I Comprehensive program (listed above in Section V-Commercial and Industrial Programs, A. C&I Comprehensive).

Other Information

Since 2008, cost-effective measures have been approved by the Commission for the C&I Comprehensive program, Small Business Direct Install program, and recommended for approval in the pending Schools Facilities program. TEP is requesting approval to offer all previously approved commercial measures, no matter what commercial program, for inclusion in all TEP commercial programs. See Section V, subsection A, C&I Comprehensive, Other Information for further detail.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP has assigned an in-house program manager to oversee the program, provide guidance on program activities consistent with TEP's goals and customer service requirements, and provide a contact point for schools. The IC is responsible for application and incentive processing, monitoring the installation contractors, participation tracking and reporting, and overall quality control and management of the delivery process.

The marketing and communications strategy is designed to inform schools of the availability and benefits of the program and how they can participate. The strategy includes specific outreach to schools and contractors who retrofit schools. An important component of the marketing plan is content on and functionality of the TEP website, which directs schools to information about the program.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 13.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

- Program Management
 - o IC collects customer and installing contractor data from application and determines program eligibility.
 - o IC verifies measures for each job and enters into the small business database. The cost data for each measure is collected from contractors and built into the database in advance.
 - o IC performs pre-application inspections and provides final approval to installing contractors.
 - o IC provides post inspection and verification of installation.
 - o Installing contractors are required to meet certain qualifications to participate.
 - Utility technical staff assists with post inspection and verification of installations for IC as appropriate.
 - o IC collects information on all energy saving installations and maintains information in tracking data base. Information includes deemed or calculated energy and demand savings, installation date, baseline equipment, EE equipment, hours of operation, facility type, incremental cost of equipment, incentive amount and incentive payment date.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor provides certification of IC data.
 - o MER contractor provides certification of TEP data.
 - o MER contractor provides random field verification of measures on a rotating basis (3-year cycle).
- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- Cost research is completed by the MER contractor on various measures within the program to maintain up-to-date information on incremental measure costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within the program is completed by the MER contractor to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

VI. Behavioral Programs

This section discusses TEP's continuing behavioral suite of programs.

A. Home Energy Reports

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

TEP's Home Energy Report program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 72254 (April 7, 2011). The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the pending 2012 Rate Case Order. The program is designed to affect: 1) habitual behaviors like turning off the lights or adjusting the thermostat; 2) maintenance behaviors such as changing furnace filters and cleaning refrigerator coils; and 3) purchasing behaviors such as buying efficient light bulbs and appliances, as well as participation in DSM programs.

The program influences behavioral change in customers to save energy using comparative education of their energy consumption as compared to other customers. The program does this through monthly or quarterly direct-mail reports on energy consumption and tips on how to save energy, at no cost to the customer. By making customers aware of their energy consumption patterns, especially in comparison with those of the other customers, programs like this have been demonstrated to inspire customers to save energy.

The program is offered to a select group of residential customers, phased in at two levels. The initial group of customers was chosen based on their historically higher than average energy use. This group includes customers who display an annual consumption of 15,000 kilowatt hours ("kWh") or more. In Phase 1 (2011 and 2012), 25,000 customers participated. In Phase 2 (2013), participation is planned to increase to 40,000 customers. Additional participants may be added after 2014 evaluation results are analyzed.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The major objectives from this program are to: i) generate significant savings for DSM portfolio objectives; ii) educate and empower customers to take advantage of other DSM programs; iii) promote efficient building operations; and iv) lower energy bills for consumers.

Program Modifications or New Measures for 2014

TEP has adjusted savings projections from 2.5% to 2% based on 2012 results. TEP is hopeful that actual savings results will increase after a full year of reports and after the program is fully implemented.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The IC will deliver a turn-key program with responsibility for all aspects of customer selection, report generation, energy savings quantification, customer communications, and reporting.

Home Energy Reports will be mailed directly to the target market by the IC. Thus, no direct marketing is anticipated for this program. TEP will, however, jointly develop the marketing message contained in the Home Energy Reports with the IC. The program will also be included in the integrated marketing approach developed and used for all DSM programs.

Cost-Effectiveness

This program was found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case

Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 14.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

The MER plan is consistent with the previously filed strategy and will include the following:

- Program Management
 - O IC analyzes energy use data to determine control group and customers who will receive paper reports, tracks report delivery, and determines energy savings from bill analysis between target report group and a control group of customers.
- Annual 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all information from implementation contractor to verify analysis methodology and results.
 - o MER contractor compares data from IC to data reported by TEP.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation on Home Energy Report program is provided by the MER contractor to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process
 evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and
 review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction
 surveys.

B. Behavioral Comprehensive

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Behavioral Comprehensive program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the 2012 Rate Case Order. EE equipment technology can only achieve a finite amount of efficiency potential. The barriers to wider spread implementation of EE are sociological, not technological. Capturing full EE potential requires influencing behavioral change. The focus for this effort is to influence behavioral change within TEP's residential customers.

The types of behaviors to be influenced include:

- Habitual behaviors
 - o Adjust thermostat setting
 - o Turn off unnecessary lights
- Small purchasing and maintenance behaviors
 - o Purchase and install faucet aerators and low flow shower heads
 - o Purchase and install CFLs
 - o HVAC maintenance

- Larger purchasing decisions
 - o Purchase an ENERGY STAR appliance
 - Purchase higher EE heating and cooling system through participation in a UNS Electric DSM program

The Behavioral Comprehensive program will use five delivery mechanisms to achieve its objectives as shown in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 Summary of Behavioral Delivery Mechanisms

	Delivery Mechanism	Description
1	Direct Canvassing	Door to door awareness and direct install campaign
2	K-12 Education	Classroom education including take home direct install kits
3	Community Education	"Train the trainer" approach and direct install kits
4	CFL Promotion and Outreach	CFL bulb promotion and education at outreach events
5	In-Home Energy Display	In Home Energy Displays intended to inform customers of 15 minute interval data to cause behavioral changes

Program Objectives and Rationale

The main objective of the program is to provide customers with more information, allowing them to better understand and manage residential energy usage. Several approaches have been implemented and assessed to determine the effectiveness of making this information available. Some of the program's major objectives include:

- Generation of significant energy savings;
- Development of relationships with TEP customers leading to other areas of participation in TEP's portfolio of DSM programs;
- Promotion of efficient building operations; and
- Lowering customer's energy bills.

New Measures for 2014

No new measures are included for 2014.

Other Information

Product information and reliability, delivery detail, and savings results from the TEP/AZ/USDOE Grant project for In-Home Energy Displays will be used to inform the implementation of a 2015 In-Home Energy Display measure. Future technology may reduce costs and increase savings for this measure.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

Delivery of the program is by TEP staff, except for the K-12 measure which is delivered by The Environmental Education Exchange. All TEP residential customers are eligible for this program. Delivery is offered to various groups of customers as selected by TEP and those who attend events.

Cost-Effectiveness

All measures in this program were found to be cost-effective using the Societal Test in TEP's 2014 EE Plan analysis. It is anticipated that the Commission will determine the program to be cost-effective in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 15.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

- Program Management
 - o Utility staff manages kit measure selection and availability.
 - o Utility staff tracks events and distribution of energy saving kits or CFLs.
 - o IC manages K-12 education kit distribution and reports distribution to TEP.
 - o Utility program manager collects all data and verifies cost with invoices.
- 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor collects all data from utility and IC for comparison.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by MER contractor on the Behavioral Comprehensive program to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires; and
 - o Analysis and energy simulation.
- Cost research is provided by the MER contractor on various measures within the educational kits to maintain up-to-date information on measure incremental costs.
- Research as necessary on various measures within educational kits to evaluate changes in baseline conditions due to new codes and standards.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

VII. Support Programs

Support programs are designed to provide technical and financial support for the effective implementation of other DSM programs.

A. Consumer Education and Outreach

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Consumer Education and Outreach ("CEO") program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 70402 (July 3, 2008). The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the pending 2012 Rate Case Order. The CEO program is intended to increase participation in the Company's other DSM/EE programs, but is also intended to effect a broader market transformation, including changes in customer's behavior. The program includes two basic educational components:

- General EE advertising component will cover seasonal ads that encourage energy savings through energy saving tips, marketing the on-line energy audit, and marketing other EE programs to customers.
- Time-of-Use ("TOU") education to teach residential and small commercial customers about the benefits of TOU rates and enable customers to maximize savings through load shifting.

Program Objectives and Rationale

The program consists of education and marketing material to inform customers about the benefits of energy conservation and how to achieve energy savings.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures within the CEO program.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

There are no significant changes in implementation approach or delivery strategy for the items in this program.

Cost-Effectiveness

This is an educational and marketing program that does not contain specific EE measures and does not produce direct energy savings. Therefore, this program cannot be evaluated for specific cost-effectiveness. The cost to deliver this program is added to the total EE portfolio costs and becomes part of the cost-effectiveness evaluation of the EE portfolio as a whole.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

- Program Management
 - Utility staff maintains records of EE education messaging from radio, print, bill-stuffers, and social media.
 - o Utility staff oversees development of customer questionnaires or surveys and maintains results from activities.

C. Residential Energy Financing Pilot Program

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The Residential Energy Financing pilot program is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the 2012 Rate Case Order. The program offers home improvement loans to TEP customers seeking to finance home EE improvements promoted through the Existing Homes and Audit Direct Install program. The program is primarily delivered through participating contractors who receive training and support from TEP and the program lender (Vantage West Credit Union).

Program Objectives and Rationale

The program's objective is to offer low interest, unsecured loans for up to \$10,000. The program is designed to provide customers with the capital needed to make cost-effective EE upgrades to their homes and expand the pool of customers that can afford to participate in utility EE programs.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures within the Residential Financing program.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

A utility program manager coordinates the fund transfers and provides overall management, marketing oversight, tracking of participants, and contractor participation. Marketing is primarily delivered by participating contractors who receive training and support from TEP and Vantage West Credit Union. Direct program marketing also occurs through the TEP and Vantage West Credit Union websites, and through distribution of one or more of the following: program promotional flyers, bill inserts, and group email notifications. Additional methods of program outreach and marketing will be refined after the program's launch during the 2013 program year.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

- Program Management
 - Lending institute will collect necessary data through the application process.
 - Lending institute will qualify customers for loans and distribute money to installing contractors.
 - Lending institute will validate measure cost with invoices.
 - o Lending institute will manage all data tracking and provide reports to TEP.
 - o TEP utility staff will conduct 100% post inspection and verification of installation.
 - o Installing contractors are required to meet certain qualifications to participate.
- 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor will verify all information from the lender.
 - o MER contractor will verify accuracy of TEP's data.
- Annual 3rd party impact evaluation on the Residential Energy Financing program will be conducted by the MER contractor to verify actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review;
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation; and
 - o On-site inspections.

• In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER contractor will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys.

C. Energy Codes and Standards Enhancement Program

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with additional modifications.

Program Description

Energy Codes and Standards Enhancement Program ("ECSEP") is a pending program from the 2011-2012 EE Implementation Plan. The program is anticipated to receive approval in the 2012 Rate Case Order. Utilities are allowed to claim an energy savings credit for building codes through R14-2-2404(E) of the EE Rule. TEP is requesting two modifications to this program:

- 1. A waiver from A.A.C. R14-2-2404(E) to allow TEP to count energy savings-resulting from EE appliance standards, as was approved for UNS Electric (Decision No. 72747, January 20, 2012) and APS.
- 2. A waiver from A.A.C. R14-2-2404(E) to allow TEP to count toward meeting the EE Standard 100% of the energy savings resulting from updates in EE building codes and EE appliance standards.

The ECSEP will strive to maximize energy savings through adherence to local building energy codes and through enhanced EE appliance standards. The program will employ a variety of tactics aimed at: i) improving levels of compliance with existing building energy codes and appliance standards; and ii) supporting periodic updates to energy codes and appliance standards as warranted by market conditions. Specific program activities will depend on the needs of the local code officials. The program will include:

- Education of local code officials and building professionals on existing standards;
- Providing documentation of the specific local benefits of code enforcement, which can promote energy code changes over time;
- Ensuring utility incentive programs align with local energy codes and appliance standards;
- Collaboration with relevant stakeholders to build a more robust community, with the goal of
 advancing strong, effective building energy codes and appliance standards across the local
 jurisdictions within TEP's service territory;
- Advocating for energy code and appliance standards updates over time; and
- Participation in the legislative process to gain approval for new code adoption.

New Measures or Program Modifications for 2014

There are no individual measures within the ECSEP. Each year the individual categories that provide an energy saving credit will change depending on updates to appliance standards and/or building codes. TEP's process for estimating savings from building codes and equipment standards are included Attachment A. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 16.

Program Objectives and Rationale

Increase energy savings in new construction and renovated buildings, in both the residential and commercial sectors through improving levels of compliance with existing building energy codes, supporting periodic energy code updates as warranted by market conditions, and advocating for higher efficiency electric appliances. TEP will request the 3rd party evaluation contractor use the same process to verify savings. Table 7.1 provides information about equipment standards and building codes that are used in the TEP analysis.

Table 7.1 2014 Energy Credit Summary

Measure	Old Code	New Code	Authority	Effective Year
General Service Lamps (Incandescents, CFLs, LEDs)	None	EISA ⁵	Federal	2012
T12-T8 Linear fluorescents	EPACT 1992	EISA ⁶	Federal	2012
Motors	EPACT 1992	EISA	Federal	2010
Residential New Construction	IECC 2003, 2006, 2009 (by jurisdiction)	IECC 2006, 2009, 2012 (by jurisdiction)	Jurisdictional	Various

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

Program activities will be selected based on previously effective approaches used in other jurisdictions, as well as feedback from local code officials, and municipal leaders in locations that currently lack building codes. Once program activities are selected, program staff will maintain a consistent level of activity and engagement with relevant stakeholders. Activities might include: participation in energy code adoption committees, technical support (calculations, research, information) for code adoption committees, public testimony in support of code adoption before city councils, participation in organizations that promote increased appliance standards for EE (such as the Consortium for Energy Efficiency ("CEE")), ensuring that ongoing DSM programs align well with energy code requirements and appliance standards, funding for local agencies to enforce and improve energy codes and appliance standards over time, and participation in the legislative process to gain approval for new code adoption.

Marketing strategy includes website promotion, direct outreach to local code officials and networks of municipal leaders who are members of committees conducting activities related to building code enhancement, and communications with other TEP EE program implementation staff.

⁵ Appliance Standards Awareness Project. General Service Lamps. http://www.appliance-standards.org/node/6810

⁶ Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. Public Law 110-140, 110th Congress. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-110publ140/html/PLAW-110publ140.htm

Cost-Effectiveness

Table 7.2 provides a summary of the energy saving credits TEP will claim for 2014.

Table 7.2 Program Benefits

Program Measures	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive	2014 Energy Credit (100%)	Societal Test
General Service Lamps (Incandescents, CFLs, LEDs)	None	EISA ⁷	\$0	24,460,884	5.7
T12-T8 Linear fluorescents	EPACT 1992	EISA ⁸	\$0	3,762,867	5.7
Motors	EPACT 1992	EISA	\$0	1,442,676	5.7
Residential New Construction	IECC 2003, 2006, 2009 (by jurisdiction)	IECC 2006, 2009, 2012 (by jurisdiction)	\$0	2,962,233	5.7

Table 7.3 below provides a summary of program costs.

Table 7.3 Program Costs

Year	Incentives	Program Delivery	Program Marketing	Utility Administration	Evaluation	Total Program Cost	Program Cost per Lifetime kWh Saved (\$/kWh)	Program Cost per First Year kWh Saved (\$/kWh)
2014	\$ 0	\$259,318	\$0	\$12,489	\$52,901	\$324,707	\$0.01	\$0.01

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

In an effort to provide a consistent strategy for calculating the energy savings credit from building codes and equipment standards, TEP participated in discussions with Navigant Consulting, APS, UNS Electric, and UNS Gas to determine a state-wide framework for evaluation of codes and standards. The process described in Attachment A will be used to determine appropriate savings from updates to codes and standards for TEP and will be verified by 3rd Party evaluation.

 $^{^{7}\} Appliance\ Standards\ Awareness\ Project.\ General\ Service\ Lamps.\ http://www.appliance-standards.org/node/6810$

⁸ Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. Public Law 110-140, 110th Congress. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-110publ140/html/PLAW-110publ140.htm

VIII. Utility Improvement Programs

TEP proposes two Utility Improvement programs to count toward meeting savings requirement of the EE Standard. These programs provide efficiency improvements to TEP's facilities, generation, and delivery systems. The improvements result in measurable EE savings that are real and sometimes more valuable than any savings the Company can achieve with customer funded EE incentives. The savings from these programs are important to TEP customers because they reduce TEP's cost of service and ultimately help TEP achieve the EE savings goal at a lower cost to all customers.

TEP is requesting a waiver from A.A.C. RI4-2-2404(H) to allow TEP to count energy savings from improvements in its utility delivery system toward meeting the cumulative energy savings goals. The policy to allow system improvement savings to be counted toward meeting EE goals has been accepted and adopted in other states including; Washington, Iowa, Ohio, Florida, Virginia, Delaware, Pennsylvania, Maryland and South Carolina.

The following section presents a summary of TEP's utility improvement programs.

A. Conservation Voltage Reduction

TEP is requesting budget approval to add this new program to the TEP EE Portfolio.

Program Description

The Conservation Voltage Reduction ("CVR") program achieves load reductions through changes in voltage regulation parameters at the substation/feeder level⁹. This change involves a physical adjustment in transformer settings governing voltage at the substation. By adjusting substation voltage, the program impacts energy flows and capacity, including demand coincident with the system peak period(s).

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures within the Conservation Voltage Reduction program.

Program Objectives and Rationale

Changes in voltage translate into demand and energy savings through the basic physical relationships governing power: Watts = Volts X Amps. For this program, reducing the voltage reduces demand and reduces consumption. The change in voltage targeted by this program is approximately 2 percent which will fall within the tolerance bandwidth required to ensure power quality and equipment performance by end-use customers. In most instances, customers will not notice, nor experience, any negative changes in equipment performance (e.g., air-conditioning, lighting and motor performance and use), resulting from the change in voltage. TEP's process for estimating savings from CVR and a full program description are included Attachment B. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 17.

Target Market

The CVR program incorporates voltage regulation techniques on selected circuits which includes both residential and non-residential customers. TEP performed a review of its distribution system and selected a substation for the initial program with 4 feeders that are relatively short so as to minimize risk of lowering voltage at the end of the line to unacceptable levels.

⁹ Schneider, et al. "Evaluation of Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) on a National Level." Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. July 2010.

Program Eligibility

All customers are eligible for this program with no out-of-pocket expense.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The program will be implemented by TEP transmission and distribution engineers and contractors at selected substations and circuits. Program monitoring including investigation and resolution of any voltage issues will be performed during implementation. There are no customer costs, incentives or marketing activities for this program as customer participation is not solicited.

TEP staff will be responsible for administering the program. Staff responsibilities include coordination, planning, and implementation of all program activities. MER activities will be conducted by a third-party contractor.

Cost-Effectiveness

Table 8.1 below provides a summary of program benefits and Table 8.2 provides a summary of program costs.

Table 8.1 Program Benefits

Program Measures	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive	Estimated Savings (kWh)	Societal Test
DREX 34	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	634,687	4.3
DREX 35	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	365,473	2.5
DREX 36	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	665,899	4.5
DREX 44	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	637,371	4.3

Table 8.2 Program Costs

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

MER activities relating to the CVR program will focus on verification of planning assumptions through analysis of energy and demand data for the chosen circuit(s) for one year pre-program compared to data gathered during the first year of the program and normalized for weather. A full description of the MER activities is provided in Attachment B. Additional detail on lifetime energy savings, societal benefits/costs, non-incentive cost per measure for all measures is included in Exhibit 2, Section 18.

B. Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade

TEP is requesting budget approval to add this new program to the TEP EE Portfolio.

Program Description

The Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade is a new program for 2014. The EE Rules currently allow TEP to include energy savings from generation improvements or facilities upgrades toward meeting the EE goal. Unlike standard EE measures, the benefits of generation improvement and facilities upgrade are not paid for through the DSM surcharge ("DSMS") and do not result in unrecovered fixed costs. TEP will include only the administrative costs to prepare, report, and validate savings as an EE/DSM expense.

Generation system improvements include, but are not limited to, the installation of high efficiency motors and variable speed drives, and projects that reduce a power plant's auxiliary power or increase capacity. Facilities upgrades include the installation of EE lighting, and HVAC upgrades at TEP offices and facilities. These initiatives provide significant energy savings and do not require customer actions taken on the customer side of the meter.

New Measures for 2014

There are no individual measures within the Generation Improvement and Facilities Upgrade program

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

TEP plans to count toward meeting the EE standard in 2014 quantified savings from generation system improvement and upgrades to facilities. Each project will be screened separately for cost-effectiveness using the Societal Test. Detailed information including cost-effectiveness results will be filed in the end of year DSM progress report.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

TEP will provide to the MER contractor copies of detailed cost-effectiveness calculations as well as copies of records providing evidence that equipment has been purchased and installed to enable the 3rd party evaluator information necessary to verify savings.

IX. Demand Response Programs

A. C&I Direct Load Control

TEP is requesting budget approval to continue this program with no additional modifications.

Program Description

The C&I Direct Load Control program is an existing program, approved previously by the Commission in Decision No. 71787 (July 12, 2010). The program is anticipated to receive approval by the Commission in the pending 2012 Rate Case Order. This is a C&I load curtailment program. Customers are compensated with incentives for their participation at negotiated levels depending on multiple factors, including the size of the facility, amount of kW under load control, and the frequency with which the resource can be utilized.

Program Objectives and Rationale

C&I load represents up to 14 percent of the system's demand during peak hours in the late afternoon and evening hours during summer months. Modification to controls for chillers, rooftop AC units, lighting, fans, and other end-uses can reduce power demand at peak times or during emergency situations. In addition, the program may be used to support standard benefits of demand-response programs, which include: i) avoided firm capacity required to meet reserve requirements; ii) reduced or avoided openmarket power purchases during periods of high energy prices; iii) and greater grid stability and reduction in outages due to reduced grid demand.

New Measures for 2014

No new measures are included for 2014.

Delivery and Marketing Strategy

The program is delivered on a turn-key basis by a third-party IC, who negotiates load reduction agreements with multiple customers. The IC then aggregates these customers to provide TEP with a confirmed and guaranteed load reduction capacity, while maintaining a degree of flexibility in how the curtailments are achieved. Since the demand response aggregator is obligated to provide the required megawatts of load curtailment, the process is similar to a power purchase agreement. Recruitment is targeted to help ensure that customers invited to participate are able to provide reliable and significant load control reductions.

Cost-Effectiveness

The Commission determined the program to be cost-effective in Decision No. 71787. Cost effectiveness for demand response programs are not determined year by year but by the program life as a whole.

Measurement Evaluation and Research

The MER plan is consistent with the previously filed strategy and will include the following:

- Program Management
 - o Implementation contractor solicits customer participation and collects necessary information on equipment, load, and reduction possibilities.
 - o Implementation contractor tracks and verifies load reduction during an event and provides detailed information to TEP.
- 3rd party review
 - o MER contractor provides certification of implementation contractor's data.

- 3rd party impact evaluation is provided by the MER contractor on the C&I Direct Load Control program to evaluate actual energy savings from the prior year. Impact evaluation is completed using some or all of the following analysis techniques:
 - o Desk review:
 - o Customer/contractor questionnaires;
 - o Analysis and energy simulation;
 - o On-site inspections; and
 - o Metering.
- In addition to annual impact evaluation, the MER plan will periodically complete process evaluation on the portfolio of EE programs and will incorporate review of new measures and review of delivery tactics. The additional process evaluation includes customer satisfaction surveys, equipment installation verification, etc.

B. Residential and Small Commercial Direct Load Control

TEP is not requesting budget approval to continue this program in 2014.

As reported in its Annual DSM Progress Report for 2012 (Docket No. E-00000U-13-0031) TEP has completed its pilot program for Residential and Small Commercial Direct Load Control ("DLC"). TEP has decided not to offer a mass market DLC program and is not requesting any budget approval in this EE Plan. TEP does not need this technology at this time to ensure safe and reliable service, and its contribution to the EE Standard is better met through TEP's C&I Direct Load Control program.

X. Portfolio Management

TEP will serve as the program administrator for the EE Portfolio. To expedite the launch of programs, and to utilize the experiences of other jurisdictions, TEP implements programs through a combination of third-party ICs and utility staff. TEP designs programs to be the most cost-effective by utilizing ICs that provide the lowest cost per kWh and, likewise, utilizing TEP staff when their use provides the lowest cost per kWh. ICs will be selected through a competitive request for proposal process for delivery of programs.

TEP provides high-level administrative, contract management, program design and marketing oversight of the selected ICs. A portfolio of this size and scope requires careful management oversight. TEP has a dedicated group of EE program staff to oversee third-party implemented programs and promotion of cross-sector education and awareness activities.

TEP also is developing a comprehensive tracking database to ensure accurate and comprehensive recording of all program participation. Additionally, the database will allow TEP to research and track participation by customer class and geographic area, and also to identify trends and untapped opportunities to further the program's goals. TEP staff takes primary responsibility for general EE education and awareness strategies and activities, including maintaining the Company's website, utilizing online energy audit software, and distributing mass-market general education and efficiency awareness promotions.

In summary, TEP provides comprehensive program contract oversight, strategic planning, including management, financial planning and budgeting, as well as:

- High-level guidance and direction to the ICs, including review and revision of proposed annual implementation plans and proposed milestones. The Company will additionally engage with the contractor team on a daily basis when working through strategy and policy issues;
- Review and approval of IC invoices and ensure program activities are within budget and on schedule;
- Review of IC operational databases for accuracy, ensuring incorporation of data into TEP's comprehensive portfolio tracking database to be used for overall tracking and regulatory reporting;
- Review of measure saving estimates maintained by the IC;
- Oversight and coordination of evaluation, measurement, and verification of ICs;
- Public education and outreach to community groups, trade allies, and trade associations;
- Provide guidance and direction on new initiatives or strategies proposed by the ICs;
- Communicate to ICs the other TEP initiatives that may provide opportunities for cross-program promotion;
- Review and approve printed materials and advertising plans from ICs;
- Create and provide collateral material for advertising on programs delivered by the utility;
- Evaluate portfolio and program effectiveness, and recommend modifications to programs and approach as needed; and
- Perform periodic review of program metrics, conduct investment analysis, and review evolving program design.

A. Marketing and Outreach Strategy

The marketing and outreach strategy for the portfolio of programs encourages participation among customers, key market players, and trade allies. The objective of the marketing and communications strategy is to make customers and key market actors aware of the Company's program offerings and the benefits of such offerings, and to influence their decision to use more EE options when purchasing or installing energy systems or equipment.

The specifics of the marketing strategy depend on the program and the demographics of the group being engaged. Depending on the market to be reached, marketing will generally include a mix of broadcast, Internet, print media, radio, direct contact, direct mail, bill inserts, or presentations. The program descriptions describe the proposed marketing approach for each program.

Additionally, TEP works with regional, state, and national programs and partners to optimize cooperative marketing programs and campaigns. Marketing efforts are designed to dovetail with other statewide or regional efficiency programs and campaigns, including those offered by APS.

B. Tracking and Reporting

TEP continues to develop a comprehensive internal tracking and reporting system to record all activities from the portfolio of programs. ICs will be responsible for tracking and reporting EE program activities by entering details of each project into the comprehensive data tracking system. The system will allow customized reporting to meet any reporting requirements in a quick, transparent, and accurate manner.

C. Midstream Adjustments

While the 2014 EE Plan presents detailed information on approach, EE measures and proposed incentive levels, unforeseen changes in the market condition, may require regular review and revisions of portions of this plan to reflect this new information. As such, adjustments to these programs may be necessary. When this is the case, the Company will update the Commission in a timely manner and give the Commission opportunity to provide input.

D. Inter-Utility Coordination

TEP works with Southwest Gas and other utilities to maximize the effectiveness of the programs; in particular, where gas and electric services overlap, regular communication and coordination will be necessary. This collaboration involves working together to identify savings opportunities, as well as providing consistent messaging and parallel programs to reduce confusion and difficulty for customers and trade allies. TEP intends to continue collaboration with others to provide cohesive marketing messages, as well as designing incentive programs, incentive forms and incentive levels that are easily transferable with adjacent utilities.

E. Leveraging Other Efficiency Initiatives

Within Arizona, several entities and initiatives are promoting EE including: the state government; Southwest Energy Efficiency Project ("SWEEP"); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and U.S. Department of Energy's "ENERGY STAR®" brand; and Federal tax credits. TEP and its implementation contractors work diligently to remain aware and up to date, and to cooperate with efficiency efforts being directed at Arizona energy users. Wherever feasible, co-marketing efforts are employed to send a clear and consistent message on the benefits of EE and the resources available to help achieve it. Additionally, TEP utilizes the successful experiences in other states by joining CEE and E-Source, which provides TEP program managers with information and contacts to assist in the continuous program design and delivery improvements of the portfolio.

F. Trade Ally Coordination

Trade allies are essential to the effective implementation of any EE program. Trade allies are considered program partners and are regularly informed of the TEP program's progress. Open communication from trade allies about what is working and what is not in the field is essential. To ensure good two-way communication, the Company emphasizes coordination, listening sessions, and frequent communications. A schedule of meetings, workshops, educational seminars, program update breakfasts, and clear and concise program descriptions are distributed to the trade allies at the program kick off meetings. Ongoing training and program updates are also a key part of program delivery.

XI. DSMS Tariff

Because TEP anticipates a DSM Surcharge ("DSMS") reset with Commission approval of the pending 2012 Rate Case Order TEP is not filing a request for a change to its DSMS surcharge as part of this EE Plan. If the EERP is not approved, TEP will file a separate DSMS request on or before March 1, 2014, to recover costs associated with this EE Plan.

Attachment A

Methodology for Determination of Energy Savings Credit

From Building Codes and Appliance Standards

In an effort to provide a consistent strategy for calculating the energy savings credit from building codes and equipment standards, TEP participated in discussions with Navigant Consulting, APS, UNS Electric and UNS Gas to determine a state-wide framework for evaluation of energy savings from codes and standards. The process described below will be used to determine appropriate savings from the Energy Codes and Standards Enhancement Program ("ECSEP") for TEP and will be verified by 3rd Party Measure, Evaluation, and Research ("MER") contractor.

Determine Relevant Codes and Standards Updates

A review of federal, state, and jurisdictional code changes in 2012 revealed the following code updates, which are of interest to TEP:

Table 1: Relevant Code Updates in TEP Territory

Measure	Old Code	New Code	Authority	Effective Year
General Service Lamps (Incandescent, CFLs, LEDs)	None	EISA ¹	Federal	2012
Linear fluorescents	EPACT 1992	EISA ²	Federal	2012
Motors	EPACT 1992	EISA	Federal	2010
Residential New Construction	IECC ³ 2003, 2006, 2009 (by jurisdiction)	IECC 2006, 2009, 2012 (by jurisdiction)	Jurisdictional	Various

Table 2 below provides a quick review of definitions related to determining ECSEP savings estimates.

Table 2: Review of Definitions for Estimating ECSEP Savings

ECSEP Evaluation Step	Definition
Potential Energy Savings	The unit energy savings (difference between pre- and post-code unit consumption) multiplied by the total number of units installed in TEP territory, scaled from state or national sales data using electricity sales data.
Gross Energy Savings	Potential savings from the standard adjusted for a rate of code compliance.
Net Energy Savings	Gross savings from the standard adjusted for the rate of naturally occurring market adoption of the efficient measure.
Net ECSEP Energy Savings	Net energy savings attributable to TEP's ECSEP (33% of net energy savings per A.A.C. R14-2-2404.E).
Net ECSEP Demand Savings	Net demand savings from ECSEP, adjusted from ECSEP energy savings according to the appropriate coincidence factors

 $^{^{1}\} Appliance\ Standards\ Awareness\ Project.\ General\ Service\ Lamps.\ http://www.appliance-standards.org/node/6810$

² Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007. Public Law 110-140, 110th Congress. http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-110publ140/html/PLAW-110publ140.htm

³ International Energy Conservation Code ("IECC")

Determine Allocation for TEP by Electricity Sales Data

For all measures, TEP will use the same methodology to allocate savings from the state or national level to the utility level. Savings were allocated by electricity sales in the relevant sector according to the following table⁴:

Table 3: Allocation Factors for TEP

		TEP Electricity	Sales in 2011	
	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Total
MWh	3,888,012	2,215,863	3,228,234	9,332,109
TEP (% of national)	0.27%	0.17%	0.33%	0.25%
TEP (% of state)	11.75%	7.51%	26.13%	12.45%

For example, with assistance from Navigant, TEP obtained national-level sales data for linear fluorescents. Assuming that 80% of linear fluorescents are deployed in commercial settings and 20% are deployed in residential settings, 8.36% of overall statewide savings from the Energy Independence and Security Act ("EISA") standard occurred within TEP's service territory.

Finally, for all analyses, ECSEP savings estimates were adjusted by incentive program savings, to ensure that incentive program savings are not double counted in ECSEP savings estimates.

Measure 1: General Service Lamps

Table 4: EISA Standard Savings from General Service Lamps

ECSEP Evaluation Step	General Service Lamp Savings				
property and the	2012	2013	2014		
Potential Energy Savings (kWh)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gross Energy Savings (kWh)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Net Energy Savings (kWh) ⁵	4,748,471	12,559,155	24,460,885		
Net ECSEP Energy Savings (kWh) ⁶	1,582,824	4,186,385	8,153,628		
Net ECSEP Demand Savings (kW)	55	146	285		

Table 4 describes savings from the general service lamp EISA standard implemented in 2012. Potential energy savings and net energy savings were not estimated in this case, because the unit energy savings

⁴ Energy Information Administration (EIA) electricity data. http://www.eia.gov/electricity/data.cfm

⁵ These savings will be credited toward the Energy Efficiency ("EE") Standard if the Commission approves TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

⁶ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission does not approve TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

estimates were derived from an Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") report on next-generation lighting⁷, which already included compliance and natural market adoption adjustments within their analysis. For the purposes of allocation, it was assumed that 90% of general service lamps are used in residential buildings, while 10% are used in commercial buildings. The expected useful life of each lamp was assumed to be two years.

Measure 2: Linear Fluorescent Lamps

Table 5: EISA Standard Savings from Linear Fluorescents

ECSEP Evaluation Step	Linear Fluorescent Lamp Savings				
	2012	2013	2014		
Potential Energy Savings (kWh)	4,925,527	4,520,223	4,148,270		
Gross Energy Savings (kWh)	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Net Energy Savings (kWh) ⁸	1,180,013	3,427,079	3,762,867		
Net ECSEP Energy Savings (kWh) ⁹	393,338	1,142,360	1,254,289		
Net ECSEP Demand Savings (kW)	25	73	80		

Table 5 describes savings from the general service lamp EISA standard implemented in 2012. Gross energy savings were not estimated in this case, because the sales forecast data from the National Electric Manufacturers Association already accounted for natural market adoption rates. For the purposes of allocation, it was assumed that 80% of the linear fluorescent lamps are used in commercial buildings, while 20% are used in the residential sector. The expected useful life of each lamp was assumed to be 15 years.

⁷ US EPA, 2012, Next Generation Lighting Programs: Opportunities to Advance Efficient Lighting for a Cleaner Environment

⁸ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission approves TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

⁹ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission does not approve TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

Measure 3: Motors

Table 6.EISA Standard Savings from Motors

ECSEP Evaluation Step	Electric Motor Savings				
	2011	2012	2013	2014	
Potential Energy Savings (kWh)	1,555,964	1,598,790	1,576,004	1,563,887	
Gross Energy Savings (kWh)	991,120	1,122,081	1,282,378	1,442,675	
Net Energy Savings (kWh) ¹⁰	991,120	1,122,081	1,282,378	1,442,675	
Net ECSEP Energy Savings (kWh) ¹¹	330,373	374,027	427,459	480,892	
Net ECSEP Demand Savings (kW)	74	84	96	108	

Table 6 describes savings from the electric motors EISA standard implemented in 2010. For the purposes of allocation, it was assumed that 72% of motors are used in commercial buildings, while 28% are used in industrial buildings. Gross energy savings are equivalent to net energy savings due to lack of information on natural rates of market adoption for efficient motors absent the EISA standard. The expected useful life of each motor was assumed to be 15 years.

Measure 4: Residential New Construction (building codes)

Table 7: Residential New Construction IECC 2012 Code Savings

ECSEP Evaluation Step	NEWSON I	Residential New Co	nstruction Savings	
	2012	2013	2014	2015
Code House Consumption (kWh/home)	14,464	13,615	12,363	11,961
Savings year over year (kWh/home)	n/a	849	1,251	402
Number of homes	2,162	2,162	2,162	2,162
Potential Energy Savings (kWh)	n/a	2,543,447	2,867,022	n/a
Net Energy Savings (kWh) ¹²	n/a	1,836,098	2,705,235	869,137
Net ECSEP Savings (kWh) ¹³	n/a	670,176	987,411	317,235
Net ECSEP Demand Savings (kW)	n/a	489	720	231

¹⁰ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission approves TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

 $^{^{11}}$ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission does not approve TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

¹² These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission approves TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

¹³ These savings will be credited toward the EE Standard if the Commission does not approve TEP's request to count 100% of savings.

Estimating savings from building codes required a different data collection strategy, as any single building code encompasses a wide array of measures, all with different market characteristics. For example, the residential International Energy Conservation Code ("IECC") 2012 code prescribes minimum standards for attic insulation, HVAC efficiency, and lighting fixtures—all of which are sold in different markets. Code savings estimates are further complicated in home-rule states such as Arizona, where each jurisdiction has the authority to establish and amend building codes, and jurisdictional boundaries do not necessarily correspond to utility service areas. In this case, pre- and post- code implementation energy consumption values were established on a jurisdiction-by-jurisdiction basis. Each jurisdiction within TEP service territory was weighted according to the number of new residential building permits issued in 2012.

Navigant Consulting built energy simulation models of typical code compliant buildings constructed within TEP territory that complied with 2006 or 2012 IECC regulations. The unit energy savings were derived by comparing the energy use difference between modeled code compliant homes of each code vintage in each jurisdiction planning a code update. This number was multiplied by the quantity of new building permits issued in 2012 as a proxy for the size of the housing market from 2013-2015 to derive a gross code savings estimate. Homes were assumed to be 50% code compliant one year after implementation of the new code, and fully code compliant two years after new code implementation. Finally, confining the analysis to residential building permits issued only within TEP service territory obviates the need to make assumptions for allocation of savings to TEP.

Attachment B

Conservation Voltage Reduction Program

Program Description

The Conservation Voltage Reduction ("CVR") program achieves load reductions through changes in voltage regulation parameters at the substation/feeder level¹. This change involves a physical adjustment in transformer settings governing voltage at the substation. By adjusting substation voltage, the program impacts energy flows and capacity, including demand coincident with the system peak period(s).

Program Objectives and Rationale

Changes in voltage translate into demand and energy savings through the basic physical relationships governing power: Watts = Volts x Amps. For this program, reducing the voltage reduces demand and reduces consumption. Studies indicate that energy consumption is reduced by 1-4% (2% ACEEE, NEEA 2007²) on applicable circuits depending on reduction in voltage and several other factors, including load mix. These estimates are based on actual utility distribution efficiency studies and field measurement and verification ("M&V") testing performed in the Northwest Region. The change in voltage targeted by this program is approximately 2%, which will fall within the tolerance bandwidths required to ensure power quality and equipment performance by end-use customers. In most instances, customers will neither notice nor experience, any negative changes in equipment performance (e.g., air-conditioning, lighting and motor performance, and use), resulting from the change in voltage.

In the unlikely event that power quality and equipment performance is impacted under the program, TEP will immediately make adjustments consisting of equipment changes or enhancements (e.g., adding capacitors to feeders), and/or dialing voltage settings back to their pretreatment level(s). Therefore, part of the role of the CVR program will be to assess these potential impacts and how TEP can:

- 1. Identify adverse outcomes resulting from the program vs. voltage complaints not associated to CVR; and
- 2. Implement a remediation plan to restore electric service and power quality to prior levels.

The objective of the CVR program is to decrease energy use through optimizing system voltage on select circuits. The CVR program involves a static (permanent) voltage reduction of approximately 2% across a select portion of the TEP electrical distribution system.

The rational for the CVR program derives from the fact that system voltages are not all optimized for efficiency. The voltage standard in the United States for single-phase power at a residential customer meter allows for a range from 126V to 114V (ANSI C84.1, 1996). Voltages higher or lower than that have the potential to damage customer equipment. The amp draw of certain electric devices is proportional to the voltage used to energize the device. These devices are called constant impedance or partial constant impedance loads. When the overall voltage on a distribution system is reduced, the current (and associated demand) of all constant impedance and partial constant impedance loads will decrease. Reduction in demand by reducing applied voltage results in reduced energy consumption.

¹ Schneider, et al. "Evaluation of Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) on a National Level." Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. July 2010.

² Beck RW. 2007. Distribution Efficiency Initiative. Technical Report prepared for the Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance, Portland, Oregon.

Studies indicate that energy consumption is reduced by 1 to 4% (2% PNNL³) on applicable circuits depending on reduction in voltage and several other factors, including load mix. A safety margin of at least two Volts will be maintained when implementing CVR to ensure that end of line ("EOL") voltages never fall below the lower limit of 114V.

Target Market

The CVR program incorporates voltage regulation techniques on selected circuits, which include both residential and non-residential customers. TEP performed a review of its distribution system and selected a substation for the initial program with four feeders that are relatively short so as to minimize risk of lowering voltage at the end of the line to unacceptable levels.

Program Eligibility

All customers are eligible for this program with no out-of-pocket expense.

Current Baseline Conditions

The baseline voltage levels are established by the historical voltage control operations as measured by TEP Transmission and Distribution ("T&D") engineers. The baseline must meet all applicable American National Standards Institute ("ANSI") and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") Standards for operation of electrical systems and meet recommended performance guidelines (e.g., minimum EOL primary voltage, power factor, load imbalance, etc.). Current voltage of the selected substation is 13.8 kV with an average feeder distribution voltage of 124V. Of the four feeders selected for the initial program, one is 100% commercial, one 100% residential, and two are 80% residential and 20% commercial. Total energy use in a typical meteorological year for the four feeders is 127 GWh. The available voltage reduction for each feeder is between 1V and 4V, depending on the real-time percent of peak load. The closer the demand to peak the lower the voltage is reduced. On average, the planned voltage reduction for the feeders is 2.1%.

Savings estimation methodology:

The following formula was used to estimate the energy savings:

$$EnergySaved_{\text{ANNUAL-Estimated}} = \Delta V_{\text{ANNUAL-Estimated}} \cdot CVR_{\text{FACTOR-Estimated}} \cdot TotalEnergy_{\text{ANNUAL}} \cdot TotalEnergy_{\text{ANNUAL-Estimated}} \cdot TotalEnergy_{\text{ANN$$

or

2,400 MWh = 2.1% X.9 X 127 GWh

The ΔV value is the % change in voltage implemented by the CVR program. It is calculated by taking the difference between the average pre-CVR voltage and the average post-CVR voltage and dividing it by the average pre-CVR voltage. ΔV was estimated through a series of parametric modeling results of voltage reductions for various percentages of peak demand. Using the results of the modeling, the dynamic voltage reduction was interpolated for the demand profile.

Total annual energy was obtained from actual 15-minute interval load data from January 2012 through December 2012. The energy usage was weather-normalized using typical meteorological year ("TMY") data from a local weather station.

³ Schneider, et al. "Evaluation of Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) on a National Level." Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. July 2010.

The CVR Factor, or CVRf, is the ratio of per unit (or %) energy saved to per unit (or %) average voltage reduced, or CVRf = $\Delta E/\Delta V$ where ΔE =the % change in energy and ΔV =the % change in voltage. The CVRf refers to a period of one year of energy savings and average voltage reduction. The CVRf represents the average slope on the utilization device's efficiency curve between the current voltage and the new proposed regulated voltage. For example, a CVRf of 1.00 would essentially indicate a 1% reduction in energy usage for every 1% reduction in voltage.

CVRf was developed by analyzing daily and seasonal load profiles to disaggregate air conditioning and electric space heating. Based on the load analysis, the CVRf was selected by interpolating values from the Regional Technical Forum's ("RTF's") CVR Standard M&V Protocol #1⁴. The chosen CVRf was compared to known factors from other similar utilities with evaluated CVR programs. Table 1 below, also from the RTF CVR Protocol, outlines a range of possible CVRfs contingent on load characteristics.

Table 1. Annual CVR Factor Estimate

		% Air C	onditioning to Annu	al Load	
%Electric Space Heat of Annual Load	<20%	20% - 40%	40% - 60%	60% - 80%	>80%
<20%	0.800	0.825	0.850	0.875	0.900
20% - 40%	0.675	0.700	0.725	0.750	0.775
40% - 60%	0.550	0.575	0.600	0.625	0.650
60% - 80%	0.425	0.450	0.475	0.500	0.525
>80%	0.300	0.325	0.35	0.375	0.400
	83		l .		

Products and Services

The CVR program will be implemented by TEP T&D engineers or contractors who will perform voltage set point changes at the selected substation(s). If required to ensure adequate voltage or to resolve individual customer issues as a result of the program, the Company employees or contractors will perform additional voltage mitigation activities, such as balancing loads, installing distribution circuit capacitors, regulators, or larger service transformers, and replacing primary or secondary wire.

Delivery Strategy, Incentives, Marketing and Administration

The program will be implemented by TEP T&D engineers and contractors at selected substations and circuits. Program monitoring including investigation and resolution of any voltage issues will be performed during implementation. There are no customer costs, incentives or marketing activities for this program, as customer participation is not solicited.

TEP staff will be responsible for administering the program. Responsibilities for staff will include coordination, planning, and implementation of all program activities. Measurement, Evaluation and Research ("MER") activities will be conducted by a third-party contractor.

⁴ CVR Standard M&V Protocol #1, Draft – May 15, 2012. Developed by the Regional Technical Forum CVR Subcommittee and available here: http://rtf.nwcouncil.org/subcommittees/cvr/

Program Implementation Schedule

Significant research has been undertaken in the design of this program. Upon Program approval by the Commission, TEP plans to immediately deploy its engineers to initiate the program. The Program will be substantially completed one year after implementation start-up.

Measurement, Evaluation, and Research Plan

MER activities relating to the CVR program will focus on verification of planning assumptions through analysis of energy and demand data for the chosen circuit(s) for one year pre-program compared to data gathered during the first year of the program and normalized for weather.

A summary of MER tasks to be completed for CVR includes the following:

- Statistical analyses of metered hourly MW and kV data for each circuit collected for approximately one year before, and one year following, the voltage change(s) date.
- A statistical analysis of peak demand impacts using hourly voltage and energy data collected at the substation.

The data will be analyzed to determine the energy and demand savings attributable to the program. TEP engineering staff will closely monitor the CVR circuit to ensure system voltage remains within allowable tolerances all the way to the end of the line for all feeders involved. Energy flow data will also be gathered and regularly reviewed.

The program evaluation process (MER described above) will provide an additional level of quality assurance for the program.

Cost-Effectiveness

Table 2 below provides a summary of program benefits and Table 3 provides a summary of program costs.

Table 2. Program Benefits

Program Measures	Base Efficiency	High Efficiency	Avg. Incentive	Estimated Savings (kWh)	Societal Test
DREX 34	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	634,687	4.3
DREX 35	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	365,473	2.5
DREX 36	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	665,899	4.5
DREX 44	No Regulation	With Regulation	\$0	637,371	4.3

Table 3. Program Costs

Year I	ncentives	Program Delivery	Program Marketing	Utility Administration	Evaluation	Total Program Cost	Program Cost per Lifetime kWh Saved (\$/kWh)	Program Cost per First Year kWh Saved (\$/kWh)
2014	\$0	\$373,482	\$0	\$15,746	\$20,168	\$409,396	\$0.01	\$0.16

Exhibit 1

Performance Metrics for Cost Recovery

If the Energy Efficiency Resource Plan ("EERP") is approved in TEP's 2012 Rate Case Order, TEP requests Commission approval to set the 2014 threshold performance target at 98,411 MWh savings and \$0.023/kWh lifetime cost. This sets the minimum performance target for MWh savings and the maximum \$/kWh lifetime cost for 2014. The Company will be required to meet this threshold performance target before receiving DSM/EE program cost recovery and a return on its capital investment for EE/DSM. This threshold scenario presents a minimum performance expectation for the Company.

Threshold Performance Target

Program Year	Total Program Budget	Annual Energy Savings at Generator (MWh)	Lifetime Energy Savings (MWh)	Peak Demand Savings (MW)		Portfolio Societal Test Ratio
2014	\$18,173,480	98,411	792,887	33.40	\$0.023	2.3

TEP will provide alternative scenarios to Commission Staff at their request.

	New, Existing, Suspended	Pending	Existing	Pending	New	!	New		New	New	New		New	New	New	New	New	New	Pending
		1.49	7.02	3.31	2.06		1.70		1.17	1.74	5.69		3.57	1.24	3.82	2.08	1.94	3.33	1.75
	2014 Participation Societal Test	150	1,200,000	0	3,500		2,000		20	100	25		150	200	150	100	75	50	250
	Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	\$2	\$1	0\$	\$2		\$		\$57	\$4	\$1		\$53	\$\$	\$1	\$3	ĸ	\$4	\$33
	Incremental Cost/Unit	\$32	\$1	\$198	\$14		\$1		\$909	\$46	\$10		\$556	\$240	\$10	\$30	\$50	\$22	\$421
	Effective Useful Life	12	7	10	15		7		15	10	12		14	11	11	12	6	7	10
	Coincident Demand Saved	0.01	0.00	0.23	0.00		0.00		0.10	0.03	0.01		0 1.31	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.28
	Annual Gas Saved (Therms	•))		J)))))))).		
	Annual kWh. Annual Gas Saved Saved (Therm	82	39	1,105	44		21		1,515	148	48		1,511	172	48	108	199	230	1,340
	Unit Description	Per Sensor	Per bulb	Per Unit	Per bulb		Per bulb		Per unit	Per unit	Per unit		Per unit	Per unit	Per unit	Per unit	Per unit	Per Unit	Per Unit
	EE Case Description Unit Description	Smart Strips - Load Sensor	16 W CFL	Pool Pump Timers	12.6 Weighted Avg W LED		50W 2x Incandescent	2.35 EF Heat Pump Water	Heater	Energy Star Ceiling Fan	Energy Star Freezer	ENERGY STAR Central AC	(14.5 SEER)	ENERGY STAR Washer	ENERGY STAR Dishwasher	ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	ENERGY STAR Room AC	R-10 Blanket	Variable Spd Pool Pump
	Basecase Description	standard strips	54 W Blended	no timer	en	79W blended avg		0.90 EF Electric Water	Heater	Conventional Fan	Conventional Freezer	Conventional Central AC (13 F	SEER)	Conventional Washer	Conventional Dishwasher	Conventional Refrigerator	Conventional Room AC	No blanket	single speed baseline
	Measure Name	Advanced Power Strips - Load Sensor	ES Integral CFL ¹	Pool Pump Timers	Residential LED light ²		Residential 2x Incandescent		Heat Pump Water Heater - Residential	ENERGY STAR Ceiling Fan	ENERGY STAR Freezer		ENERGY STAR Central Air Conditioner	ENERGY STAR Clothes Washer	ENERGY STAR Dishwasher	ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	ENERGY STAR Room Air Conditioner	Water Heater Blanket	Variable Spd Pool Pump ³
Exhibit 2- Section 1	Program Name	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products		Efficient Products		Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products		Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products	Efficient Products

	New, Existing, Suspended	Pending	Pending	New, Existing, Suspended	Pending	Pending	[·
	Societal Test	1.34	1.49	Societal Test	2.29	5.22	***
	2014 Participation	300	2,700	2014.	350	350	
	Non-incent Program Cost/Unit	\$134	\$177	Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	\$625	\$460	-
	incremental Cost/Unit	\$6\$	86\$	Incremental Cost/Unit	\$1,544	\$1,576	
	Effective Useful Life	9	9	Effective Useful Life	30	30	-
	Coincident Demand Saved	0.13	0.17	Coincident Demand Saved	1.43	1.61	,
	Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	0	0	Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	0	139	-
	Annual KWh Saved	942	1,242	Annusi kwh Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	2,527	1,861	110
	Unit Description	Per Unit	Per Unit	Unit Description	Per Home	Per Home	
	EE case Description	remove 2nd freezer	remove 2nd fridge	E. C. Case Description	HERS <= 65	HERS <= 65	05 . 3011
	Baxerase Description	2nd freezer plugged in	2nd fridge plugged in	Baskase Description	standard home	standard home	
	Measure Name	Freezer Recycling ⁴	Refrigerator Recycling ⁴	A Massure Name	ENERGY Smart Homes (All Electric) ⁵	ENERGY Smart Homes (Dual Fuel) ⁵	and book of the state of the st
Exhibit 2- Section 2	Brogram Name	Appliance Recycling	Appliance Recycling	Exhibit 2- Section 3 Program Name	Res. New Construction	Res. New Construction	The Manual County and a second

New, Existing, Societal Test, Suspended	Pending	Pending	Suspend	Suspend	Suspend		New,	3 3	Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing	Suspend		CAISING	Existing		nuadsne	Suspend
	2.29	5.22	1.56	2.03	0.22		-	2014 Participation Societal Test	1.52	1.28	1.32	1.15	1.02	96.0	,		1.11		7:00	0.94
2014 Participation	350	350	0	٥	0			2014 Participation	240	25	25	140	140	0	,	C7c	325	,		0
Non-lacent Program Cost/Unit	\$625	\$460	\$0	0\$	0\$		Non-incent	Program Cost/Unit	\$210	\$557	\$401	\$289	\$202	\$0	21.03	OT / c	\$525		ñ	QŞ
Incremental Cost/Unit	\$1,544	\$1,576	\$3,995	566'E\$	\$19,153			Incremental Cost/Unit	\$370	\$1,165	\$1,165	\$710	\$710	\$935	500	706476	\$1,902	7	30c'nc	\$1,765
Effective Useful Life	30	30	30	30	30			Effective Useful Life	20	20	50	20	92	70	۶	67	50	,	22	02
Coincident Demand Saved	1.43	1.61	1.79	1.46	1.24		Coincident	Demand Saved	0.39	0.90	06:0	0.47	0.33	0.37	151		1.18	1 50	7:30	0.72
Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	0	139	0	68	0			neal KWh Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	0	0	0		0	0	-		0			0
Annusi kWh Saved	2,527	1,861	3,164	1,683	2,183			An	546	1,447	1,042	751	533	290	1 863		1,364	3000	000/7	1,039
Unit Description	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home			Unit Description	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Per Home	Por Home		Per Home	- mol 100	2000	Per Home
Et case Description	HERS <= 65	HERS <= 65	HERS <= 70	HERS <= 70	HERS <= 45			EE case Description	Air Sealing	Air Sealing & Attic Insulation Per Home	Air Sealing & Attic Insulation Per Home	Duct Sealing with Testing	Duct Sealing with Testing	Duct Sealing No Testing	FnoravStar		EnergyStar			EnergyStar
Basecase Description	standard home	standard home	standard home	standard home	standard home	i		Basecase Description	no action	no action	no action	no action	no action	no action	6 833		SEER 9	0 0 0 0 0 0		SEER 13
Adeasure Name	ENERGY Smart Homes (All Electric) ⁵	ENERGY Smart Homes (Dual Fuet) ⁵	ENERGY Smart Homes - Tier 2 (All Electric) ⁵	ENERGY Smart Homes - Tier 2 (Dual Fuel) ₅	ENERGY Smart Homes - Tier 3 ⁵			Measure Name	Air Sealing (All electric)	Air Sealing & Attic Insulation (All electric) ⁶	Air Sealing & Attic Insulation (Dual fuel) ⁶	Duct Test and Repair_Performance (All electric) ⁶	Duct Test and Repair_Performance (Dual fuel) ⁶	Duct Sealing (Prescriptive)7	ER HVAC w- QI and Duct	ER HVAC w- Qt and Duct	Sealing_Performance (Dual) ⁶	ER HVAC w- Q! and Duct	ROB HVAC w- Ol and Duct Sealing	
Program Name	Res. New Construction	Res. New Construction	Res. New Construction	Res. New Construction	Res. New Construction	Exhibit 2- Section 4		Program Name	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes	Exhisting Homes		Exhisting Homes	and the state of t		Exhisting Homes

Exhibit 2- Section 4													
Program Name	Measure Name	Baxecase Description	EE case Description	Unit Description	Annual KWh Annu	Annual Gas aved (Therms)	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective Useful Life	incremental Cast/Unit	Non-lincent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	Societal Test	New, Existing, Suspended
	ROB HVAC w-Qi and Duct Sealing												
Exhisting Homes	(Prescriptive) ⁸	SEER 13	EnergyStar	Per Home	1,039	0	0.72	20	\$1,765	\$0	0	0.94	Suspend
Exhisting Homes	HVAC/QI	No QI	With a	Per Unit	713	0	0.56	10	\$330	\$137	1,000	1.49	New
Exhisting Homes	Shade Screens (All electric)	no action	Install shade screens	Per Home	1,060	0	0.92	10	\$708	\$204	220	1.03	Existing
Exhisting Homes	Screw in CFL - Direct Install from Audit	no action	Install 10 CFL	Per Home	419	0	0.01	10	\$16	0\$	0	13.15	Suspend
	Advanced Power Strips - Direct Install from												
Exhisting Homes	Audit ⁹	no action	Install Advanced Power Strip Per Home	Per Home	82	0	0.01	10	\$20	\$0	0	2.17	Suspend
	Behavioral changes from Energy												
Exhisting Homes	Assessments ⁹	no action	Behavioral Changes	Per Home	215	0	0.03	10	\$350	\$0\$	0	0.35	Suspend

ed .	80
New Existin Suspen	Existin
Societal Test	1.23
114 ipation	300
Pante	6 4,:
Mincent ogram st/Unit	\$
ğ å S	7
emental st/Unit	577
e Hid	
Effective Iseful Life	30
dent and	2
Coinci	0.02
ual Gas (Therms	
Amm	95
ural KWh Saved	
- Ye	_
escriptio	
Guite	per Tree
5	
e Descrip	Trees
EF	dditional
	¥
sescripti	
ssecase (ees
eñ.	No Tr
Хатте	
feasure	
-	ree 10
	Shade
	. 1
51	
Section 5	
hibit 2- Section 5 Program Name	
Exhibit 2- Section 5 Program Name	nade Tree

	New, Existing, Suspended	Existing	
	Societal Test	1.30	
	2014 articipation	150	
	m-Incent rogram ost/Unit P	\$472	
	mental P t/Uhit C	\$1,552	
	ive Incre	5	
	dent ind Effect ed Useful	17.5	
	Coincir as Dema rms) Save	41	
	Annual G Saved (The	0	
	Annual KWh. Saved	2,36	
	Unit Description	Home	
	=	Per H	
	EE case Description	tiple EE measures	
	nption	Mul	
	Basecase Desc	no action	
	Measure Name	Neatherization 11	
		Low Income V	
9 001	ne	tion	
nibit 2- sec	Program Nac	e Weatheriza	
ă		Low Incom	

				_	_
	New, Existing, Suspended	Pending		Pending	Pending
	Societal Test	1.14		1.21	1.31
	2014 articipation	6,400		800	800
	on-Incent Program ast/Unit P	8\$		\$94	\$28
	n emental t/Unit	\$2		\$17	\$2
	ctive Incre ul-Life Cos	9		10	01
	dent ind Effe id Useft) (_	2 1	1 1
	Coincid s Dema 15) Save	0.00		0 0.02	0 0.01
	Annual Gas Saved (Thern				
	Annual kWh Saved	37		256	77
	nit Description	Per bulb		er shower	per faucet
	se Description U	Per	1.5 GPM with hot water	Per	ber
	EECS	14 W CFL	1.5 GPM v	sensor	1.5 GPM
	Rasecase Description	61W Incd/Halogen		4 GPM	2.2 GPM
		19			
	Messure Name	ES Integral CFL		Low Flow Showerheads - Electric WH	Faucet Aerators - Electric WH only
Exhibit 2- Section 7	Program Name	,		,	,
<u>.</u>		Multi-Family		Multi-Family	Multi-Family

Exhibit 2- Section 8													
Program Name	Measure Name	Basticase Description	Ef case Description	Unit Description	Annual kWh Saved	Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective Useful Life	Incremental Cost/Unit	Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Societal Test		New, Existing, Suspended
C&I Comprehensive	14 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 14	Per Unit	489	0	0.24	15	\$440	\$40	10	1.17	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	14 SEER Packaged and Split HP's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 14	Per Unit	764	0	0.25	15	\$440	\$62	10	1.51	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	15 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	913	0	0.44	15	088\$	\$74	15	1.09	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	15 SEER Packaged and Split HP's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	1,525	0	0.47	15	\$880	\$124	15	1.49	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	16 SEER Packaged and Split AC's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 16	Per Unit	1,283	0	0.62	15	\$1,321	\$104	10	1.03	Existing
C&I Comprehensive		SEER 13	SEER 16	Per Unit	2,129	0	99.0	15	\$1,321	\$173	10	1.40	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	17 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 17	Per Unit	1,610	0	0.78	15	\$1,761	\$131	5	76.0	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	17 SEER Packaged and Split HP's 12	SEER 13	SEER 17	Per Unit	2,675	0	0.83	15	\$1,761	\$217	S	1.33	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	18 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 18	Per Unit	1,901	0	0.92	15	\$2,201	\$154	S	0.92	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	18 SEER Packaged and Split HP's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 18	Per Unit	3,235	0	66.0	15	\$2,201	\$262	5	1.28	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Advanced Power Strips - Occupancy Sensors standard strips	standard strips	Smart Strips - Occupancy	Per Sensor	170	0	0.02	12	\$75	\$11	52	1.24	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	Advanced Power Strips - Timer Plug Strip	standard strips	Smart Strips - Timer	Per Sensor	213	0	0.02	12	\$19	\$14	7.5	4.05	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	Advanced Power Strips - Load Sensor	standard strips	Smart Strips - Load Sensor	Per Sensor	118	0	0.01	12	\$32	8\$	5/2	1.86	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	Air Cooled Chillers < 150 tons ¹²	1.28 kW/ton	1.12 kW/ton	Per ton	166	0	0.08	20	\$61	\$18	00E	3.07	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Air Cooled Chillers > 150 tons 12	1.28 kW/ton	1.12 kW/ton	Per ton	170	0	0.08	20	\$63	\$18	00E	3.07	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Anti sweat heater controls	no controls	antisweat controls	Per Lin Ft	246	0	0.04	12	\$36	\$16	009	3.08	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Beverage Ctrls ("vending miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	1,610	0	0.22	12	\$199	\$104	100	3.41	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	CO Sensors	no sensors	sensors	Per Sensor	27,752	Ó	3.17	8	\$2,000	\$1,200	20	4.25	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	CO2 Sensors	no sensors	sensors	Per Sensor	1,420	0	69.0	15	\$950	\$115	70	1.53	Pending

Program Name	Med Sure Name	Basecase Description	EE case Description	Unit Description	Annual kWh Annual Gas Saved Saved Therms		Demand El	Effective Inc.	Incremental. Cast/Unit	Program Cost/Unit	Z014 Participation	Societal Test	Existing, Suspended
	ted Washers Advanced					_		<u> </u>	H				
		1.26 MEF	2.6 MEF	Per Machine	680	0 0	0.09	11	\$537	\$40	v t	1.90	Suspend
C&I Comprehensive	Coin Operated Washers (Proposed)	1.26 MEF	2 7 MFF	Per Marhine	400		0.08	- F	2777	\$24	2 %	2.90	Pending
		1.26 MEE	2.2 MEF	Per Markine	223		80.0	= =	7755¢	455	25	2.04	Pelluling
		no subcooling	subcooling	Per Ton	888		0.43	15	\$829) (£	- 5	1.13	Pending
		no action	custom actions	per customer	32.674		15.86	Ę	\$6 535	\$1.765	155	2 02	Evicting
	2	no controls	daylighting controls	Per kW	1,825		0.24	13	\$751	\$148	15	157	Existing
		T8s and T12s	Remove T8s and T12s	Per Lamp	151		0.01	15	\$	\$12	8.500	612	Existing
	S	no economizer	use of economizers	Per Ton	925		0.45	15	\$84	\$75	5	6.66	Pending
		10 a EEB	11 6 FF 8	Pertinit	1 308		0.63	i t	2 27	\$10¢	, 5	1 33	4
	EER Rated Packaged AC (> 20tons ,10.9	9 5 65 6	10 8 EED	Der Ilnit	14.121		96 9	1 4	300 30	2017	2	2.23	S I
	ted Packaged AC (11.5 - 20 tons	O. S. CELN	11 1 1 1 1 1		044.5		60 5	1 :	200,500	31,143	ו ח	77.7	EXISTING
C&I Comprehensive		10 EFR	11.6 EFR	Per Unit	2,718	-	1 37	ž ř	51 459	\$220 \$220	, or	1.30	Existing
	"	10.3 EER	11.6 EER	Per Unit	1,706	0	0.65	51	\$1,112	\$138	10	1.41	Existing
		9.5 EER	10.8 EER	Per Unit	15,898		6.94	15	\$8.378	\$1.288	5	1.71	Existing
		9.3 EER	11.1 EER	Per Unit	8,568	0	3.67	15	\$5.944	\$694	7	1 38	Existing
	EER Rated Packaged HP (5.4 - 11.25 tons ,11.31 EER) ¹²	10 EER	11.6 EER	Per Unit	3,318	0	1.35	15	\$1,459	\$269	39	2.02	Existing
	pressors	1.85 COP	2.2 COP	PerTon	1,920	0	0.27	15	\$247	\$156	m	3.76	Pending
	Efficient Condensers	по condensers	use of condensers	PerTon	261	0	0.04	10	\$39	\$14	3	2.65	Pending
	k Reset	No EMS	use of EMS	Per Sq. Ft.	4	0	0.00	15	\$1	\$0	250	1.68	New
		Incandescent/CFL sign	LED sign	Per fixture	192		0.02	16	\$55	\$17	450	2.15	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Energy efficient OUP motors	88.7 % effy	89.2% effy	per HP	19	0 0	00.00	15	25	\$2	800	4.24	Existing
		Allaware	Floating Head Pressure					4	oc .	100	000	1.0/	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Hoating Head Pressure Controls	no controls	Controls oc 3% Eff.,	Per Ion	1,838	0 0	0.26	15	593	\$149	20	5.99	Pending
	aters - Tier 1	54.7% Elly EF = .86	55.2% EIIY EF = 2.35	Per Unit	7,488		1.00	13	\$1.910	\$5.76	e F	717	Pending
		EF = .86	EF = 2.51	Per Unit	7,763	0 1.	1.01	13	\$2,777	\$545		1.60	Pending
C&I Comprehensive	HIDs to T8/T5 - Exterior	565 W Metal Halide	263 W T5/T8s	Per Fixture	1,089	0	0.04	18	\$101	\$106	350	4.35	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	HIDs to T8/T5 - Interior	565 W Metal Halide	263 W T5/T8s	Per Fixture	1,009	0	0.13	18	\$115	86\$	1,800	4.28	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	High Efficiency Evaporator Fan Motors (PSC) s	shaded pole muter	PCS motor	Per Unit	826	0	0.12	15	\$129	\$67	3	3.32	Existing
C&I Comprehensive (shaded pole muter	EC motor	Per Unit	758	0	0.11	15	\$156	\$61	75	2.75	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Evaporative fan controls	shaded pole muter	EC motor with controls	Per Unit	1,041	0 0	0.15	12	\$323	\$67	10	1.59	New
C&I Comprehensive		Standard Ice-maker	ACEEE Tier 2	Per Unit	1,048	0	0.15	12	\$549	\$68	10	1.09	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	High Efficiency Reach-in Refrigerators and Freezers	standard reach-in	EnergyStar Reach-in	Per Unit	1,031	0	0.14	15	\$183	\$84	75	3.05	Existing
	High Perf Glazing	standard window glazing	SHGC = .27	Per sqft	2	0	0.00	50	\$2	S.	75	1.44	Pending
	HVAC System Test and Repair	No Test and Repair	With Test and Repair	Per Unit	1,903	0	0.99	18	\$948	\$185	25	2.31	New
		Standard Refrigerant Flow	Variable Refrigerant Flow	Per kBtu/h	26	0	0.02	20	\$10	\$3	250	3.07	New
	Control	Standard no-Sensor	Sensor Control	Per Sensor	854	0	0.17	8	\$159	\$37	50	2.26	New
		190 W Metal Halide or HPS	93.61 W Induction lamp	Per Lamp	451	0	0.04	18	\$196	\$44	1,000	1.63	Pending
	CFL**	79.3 W Incandescent bulb	19.1 W CFL	Per Bulb	199		0.02	4	\$11	24	2,000	2.19	Existing
C&I Comprehensive	Hard Wire CFL	/3 W Incandescent bulb	15 W CFL	Per linear Ft	1888	0 0	20.0	4 0,	\$16	¥ 5	1,500	1.63	Existing
		SEM Incapperent	איני ויאני	יבו כוופשו ור	5 5		3	OT .	ol¢	14	^	T-TI	Pending
				Jer Jamp	2		200	10	C471	9	000		2

Extractive lighting with the lighting being healt-side in NV 150 to NV sequences NV 150 to N	Exhibit 2- Section 8	Wessure Name	Basecase Description	E Case Description	Unit Description	Annual kwh As	Annual Gas De Saved (Therms) Si	Coincident Demand Saved Use	Effective incremental Jefful Cost/Unit		Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	Z014 F	Societal Test	New, Existing, Suspended
Complete	C&I Comprehensive	LED Street and Parking Lights	250 W Metal Halide	33 W LED	Per Lamp		Ļ	Н	Н	800	\$0	0	0.58	Pending
First Comparing District Comparing	C&I Comprehensive	LED Traffic Lights	100 W Incandescent	8 W LED	Per Lamp	392		1.04	7	\$71	\$15	50	1.70	Pending
Complete Protein LES Strip Lighting 55.45.55 w. With 150 witting Per Learn 1,533 0 0.055 319 5465 513.0 10.005		Bi-Level Lighting	Standard Lighting Design	Bi-level Lighting Design	Per Lamp	247	0	101	15	\$264	\$0	0	99.0	Suspend
Comparing View Methods (1974) Comparing View Methods (1974		Refrigeration LED Strip Lighting	32 W T-8	20 W LED	Per Doar	243	0	50.0	6	\$85	\$12	50	1.35	New
Controller Fromer Indicates interface in the control of the cont		Canopy LED Lighting	455 & 458 W MH	108 W LED	Per Lamp	1,533	-	00'	18	\$469	\$149	300	1.89	New
Politic State Metal-Hiddle Center Politic Metal-Hiddle Center		Computer Power Monitoring System	no power monitor	computer power monitor	Per Fixture	218		101	4	\$12	\$5	2,000	2.73	New
Polity Concert Polity Concert Polity Start Melt Jaillagle - Externor Polity Concert 175 5124 513 5		Pulse Start Metal Halide - Interior	Conventional MH Lamp	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Per Lamp	572		1.07	15	\$260	\$46	75	1.45	New
Might County Might County More Developer Might County Mi		Pulse Start Metal Halide - Exterior	Conventional MH Lamp	Pulse Start MH Lamp	Per Lamp	979		707	15	\$234	\$51	7.5	1.56	New
Outgoing Part Company		Night Covers	No covers	Covers	Per linear feet	175		00'	4	\$38	\$0	0	0.80	Suspend
Contained Cont		Occupancy sensors ¹²	no sensors	occupancy sensors	Per sensor	442		90'1	12	\$105	\$29	1,000	2.07	Existing
Programmable Themostatic non-programmable programmable of programmable themostatic non-programmable through the Themostatic non-programmable non-programmable non-programmable through the Themostatic non-programmable non-program		Outdoor CFL ¹⁴	112 W incand.	25 W CFL	Per Lamp	382		.02	5	\$9	\$10	250	5.18	Pending
Programmable Thermostratis Diopprogrammable Programmable P		Premium T8 Lighting ¹⁶	T12 Lamps	Premium T8 Lamps	Per Fixture	48		001	15	\$16	\$	10,000	1.75	Existing
Prince Prince Diete 11 ERR		Programmable Thermostats	non-programmable	programmable	Per Unit	4,096		00:	11	\$204	\$243	100	5.53	Existing
Peringenated Digisity Automatic Door Closers Per Door Closers Pe			10 EER	11 EER	Per kBtu/h	1,963		.95	15	\$11	\$159	10	13.20	Pending
Refrigerated Display Automatic Door Closers Standard decres Refrigerated Display Automatic Door Closers Standard decres Refrigerated Display Casalets Republic Gaskets 104 0.01 3 512 555 Strew in cold cathode Cl. 51 W heandescent bulb 70 VCFL Per Bulb 134 0.01 6 512 555 Strew in cold cathode Cl. 51 W heandescent bulb 70 VCFL Per Set			10 EER	11 EER	Per kBtu/h	2,714		.23	15	\$130	\$220	10	8.42	Pending
Referenced bigglay Gastets For Linear P. Incentified Captures Per		Refrigerated Display Automatic Door Closers	standard doors	Automatic Door Closers	Per Door	3,535		.49	2	\$142	56\$	10	4.18	Pending
Strate of cachinde CPL STAW MCTC Per Bulb 194 0 0.01 6 527 \$6 Shande Screens no caceens plading goeff 0.24 15 10 0.01 10 54 \$1 Shande Screens no caceens plading goeff 0.24 22 12 52 \$1 Shande Screens no controls occupanto sentors Per Sensor 1,200 0.01 12 \$21 \$1 Reach-conforce Controls ("Cool miser") no controls Cocupanto sentors Per Sensor 1,200 0.01 15 \$1 \$1 Standard T8 Lighting.** no controls Cocupanto sentors Curiains Per Sensor 17 1 \$1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Refrigerated Display Gaskets</td><td>no action</td><td>Replace Gaskets</td><td>Per Linear Ft</td><td>104</td><td></td><td>101</td><td>3</td><td>\$12</td><td>\$2</td><td>10</td><td>1.36</td><td>Pending</td></t<>		Refrigerated Display Gaskets	no action	Replace Gaskets	Per Linear Ft	104		101	3	\$12	\$2	10	1.36	Pending
Stande Screens Stande Screen Stande		Screw in cold cathode CFL	51 W Incandescent bulb	7W CFL	Per Bulb	194	0 0	101	9	\$12	Şe	10	3.16	Existing
Stands Ctrie ("vending miser") Decortoole Occupancy seriors Per Serior 322 0.054 12 5193 521 Raach-in Cooler Controls Tooler Cooler Controls Tooler Cooler Coo		Shade Screens	no screens	shading coeff: 0.24	Per Sq Ft	16		101	10	\$4	\$1	2,000	2.42	Pending
Standard TB Lighting		Snack Ctrls ("vending miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	322		.04	12	\$103	\$21	450	1.68	Pending
Standard T8 Lighting		Reach-In Cooler Controls ("Cool miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	1,200		17	12	\$199	\$78	15	2.79	Existing
Strip Curtains No curtains Strip Curtains Standard T8 Per Lamp 17 0 0.00 15 538 5108		Standard T8 Lighting ¹⁶	T12 Lamps	Standard T8 Lamps	Per Lamp	26		00.	15	\$17	\$2	4,500	1.04	Existing
18 to 78 18 to 78 18 to 78 19 to 78		Strip Curtains	No curtains	Curtains	Per Sq feet	478		.07	4	\$10	\$10	25	5.30	Existing
Variable Speed Drives. ¹ Variable Speed Crew Compressor Visible Speed Crew Compressor Visible Speed Screw Compressor Visible Speed Screw Compressor Visible Speed Screw Compressor Single stage modulating 1 or 2 stage variable speed Per ton 376 0.06 15 \$ 526 \$ 530 Variable Speed Screw Compressor single stage modulating 1 or 2 stage variable speed Per ton 136 0.06 15 \$ 526 \$ 530 tons ²		T8 to T8	standard T8	premium T8	Per Lamp	17		00:	15	\$31	\$0	0	0.40	Suspend
Variable Speed Screw Compressor single stage modulating 1 or 2 stage variable speed Per type 376 0.06 15 \$262 \$30 Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 0.05 kW/Ton Per type 194 0 0.09 20 \$118 \$218 Lons 10 value Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 0.55 kW/Ton Per type 176 0 0.09 20 \$118 \$118 Lons 10 value Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal Scorew < 150 tons 2 value Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes 1			no VSD	VSD	per HP	2,317		.36	15	\$387	\$188	3,000	3.24	Existing
Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 150 Q.70 kW/Ton Q.56 kW/Ton Per ton 194 0 0.09 20 \$138 \$21 Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 0.58 kW/Ton Per ton 166 0 0.09 20 \$118 \$18 Water Cooled Chillers - Cooled Chillers - Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹² 0.53 kW/Ton Per ton 176 0 0.09 20 \$128 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹² 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 219 0 0.09 20 \$12 \$29 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹² 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$29 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹² 0.64 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$29 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.64 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0.09 20 \$29 \$29 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.64 kW/Ton Per ton		Variable Speed Screw Compressor	single stage modulating		PerHp	376		90.	15	\$262	\$30	200	1.16	Existing
Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal 5300 Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal 5300 Q46 kW/Ton Per ton 166 0 0.08 20 \$118 \$18 tons. ¹ Vorder Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes. ¹ Vorder Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes. ¹ Vorder Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons. ² 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 176 0 0.09 20 \$125 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes. ¹ Vorder Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons. ² 1.26 kW/Ton Per ton 219 0 0.17 20 \$20 \$38 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons. ² Vorder Cool		Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal < 150 tons ¹²	0.70 kW/Ten	0.56 kW/Ton	Per ton	194		60	02	\$138	\$21	750	1.79	Fxicting
Water Cooled Chillers - Certifugal 150 - 299 Water Cooled Chillers - Certifugal 150 - 299 Co. 299 20 \$125 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes ¹ 1.26 kW/Ton 1.01 kW/Ton Per ton 349 0 0.17 20 \$20 \$38 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹² 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 219 0 0.11 20 \$20 \$24 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$55 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.62 kW/Ton 0.57 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$56 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.62 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0 0.10 20 \$36 \$36 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 500 tons ¹² 0.72 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0 0.00 20 \$36 \$36		Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 tons ¹²	0.58 kW/Ton	0.46 kW/Ton	Per ton	166		80	00	\$118	\$18	3.000	1.79	Fxisting
tons. ³ Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons. ³ 6.63 kW/Ton Des ton 176 0 0.09 20 \$125 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons. ³ 1.26 kW/Ton 1.01 kW/Ton Per ton 349 0 0.17 20 \$20 \$38 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons. ³ 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 219 0 0.11 20 \$24 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons. ³ 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$65 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons. ³ 0.72 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0 0.10 20 \$55 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 0.72 kW/Ton 0.57 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0 0.10 20 \$55 \$55 \$45		Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal 150 - 299						_		_				0
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.51 kW/Ton 1.01 kW/Ton Per ton 349 0 0.17 20 \$38 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$54 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$65 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0.10 20 \$56 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.72 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0.10 20 \$56 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ² 0.72 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0.10 20 \$59 \$36 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 50 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x		tons 12	0.63 kW/Ton		Per ton	176		60:	50	\$125	\$19	1,500	1.79	Existing
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ²² 0.79 kW/Ton 0.63 kW/Ton Per ton 219 0 0.11 20 \$24 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 289 tons 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$55 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 289 tons 10.72 kW/Ton 0.57 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0 0.10 20 \$59 \$36 Windows Elms In Allian Chillers - Screw 150 - 289 tons In Allian Chillers - Screw 150 - 289 tons 20 0.10 20 \$59 \$36		Water Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes ¹²	1.26 kW/Ton	1.01 kW/Ton	Per ton	349		.17	02	\$20	\$38	750	8.93	Existing
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons ¹² 0.64 kW/Ton 0.51 kW/Ton Per ton 177 0 0.09 20 \$65 \$19 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 40.72 kW/Ton 0.57 kW/Ton Per ton 200 0.10 20 \$59 \$36 Window Elms Avindow Elms A		Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons ¹²	0.79 kW/Ton	0.63 kW/Ton	Per ton	219		11	20	\$87	\$24	50	2.91	Existing
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 Screw 150 - 209			0.64 kW/Ton		Per ton	1771		60:		\$65	\$19	200	3.08	Existing
Window Elms Shaling could 878 Day Sn Er 8 n n n n s co		Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 tons ¹²	0.72 kW/Ton		Perton	200		.10	50	\$59	\$36	175	3.10	Existing
window films Incilin Silvaning Coeff. 0.576 Feb 34 th 51 51 51 51 51 51 52 51 52 51 52 52	C&I Comprehensive	Window Films	no film	shading coeff: 0.578	Per Sq Ft	8	0 0	00	15	\$3	\$1	70	2.42	Pending

Exhibit 2- Section 9													
Program Name	Measure Name	Basecase Description	EE case Description	Au	onnal kWh Saved Sa	Annual Gas baved (Therms)	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective Useful Life	Incremental Cost/Unit	Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	Societal Test	New, Existing, Suspended
Small Business Direct Install	14 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 14	Per Unit	489	0	0.24	15	\$440	99\$	5	1.12	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	14 SEER Packaged and Split HP's 12	SEER 13	SEER 14	Per Unit	764	0	0.25	15	\$440	\$63	10	1.42	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	15 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	913	0	0.44	15	\$880	\$111	10	1.05	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	15 SEER Packaged and Split HP's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	1,525	0	0.47	15	\$880	\$186	10	1.40	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	16 SEER Packaged and Split AC's 12	SEER 13	SEER 16	Per Unit	1,283	0	0.62	15	\$1,321	\$156	10	0.99	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	16 SEER Packaged and Split HP's ¹²	SEER 13	SEER 16	Per Unit	2,129	0	99'0	15	\$1,321	\$259	10	1.32	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Advanced Power Strips - Occupancy Sensors standard strips	standard strips	Smart Strips - Occupancy	Per Sensor	170	0	0.02	12	\$75	\$17	10	1.16	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Advanced Power Strips - Timer Plug Strip standard strips	standard strips	Smart Strips - Timer	Per Sensor	213	0	0.02	12	\$19	\$21	10	3.35	Pending

Exhibit 2- Section 9 Program Name	Massure Name	Basedas Description	E. case Description	thit Description	Annual kWh Saved	Annual Ges Saved (Therms)	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective Useful Life	hcremental Cost/Unit	Non-incent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	Societal Test	New, Existing, Suspended
Small Business Direct Install	Advanced Power Strips - Load Sensor	standard strips	Smart Strips - Load	Per Sensor	118	0	0.01	12	\$32	\$11		1.69	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Anti sweat heater controls	no controls	antisweat controls	Per Lin Ft	246	0	0.04	12	\$36	\$24	1,000	2.66	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Beverage Ctrls ("vending miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	1,610	0	0.22	12	\$199	\$157	20	2.91	Pending
Small Business Direct Install		no controls	daylighting controls	Per kW base	1,825	0	0.24	15	\$751	\$222	15	1.45	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Delamping	T8s and T12s	Remove T8s and T12s	Per Lamp	151	0	0.01	15	\$57	\$18	10,000	1.49	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Energy efficient exit signs	Incandescent/CFL sign	LED sign	Per fixture	192	0	0.02	16	\$55	\$25	250	1.93	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Evaporative fan controls	no controls	fan controls	Per Motor	1,041	0	0.15	12	\$353	\$101	150	1.47	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Hard Wire CFL ¹⁴	73.4 W Incandescent bulb	16.6 W CFL	Per Bulb	170	0	0.02	4	\$16	\$\$	200	1.35	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	xterior	565W Metal Halide	263 W T5/T8s	Per Fixture	1,089	0	0.04	18	\$101	\$159	250	3.46	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	HIDs to T8/T5 - Interior	565W Metal Halide	263W T5/T8s	Per Fixture	1,058	0	0.14	18	\$115	\$129		3.36	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	High Efficiency Evaporator Fan Motors (ECM)	shaded pole muter	ECM	Per Unit	758	0	0.11	15	\$156	\$92	150	2.41	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	High Ffficiency Evaporator Fan Motors (PSC) shaded pole muter	shaded pole muter	Jsd	Per Unit	826	0	0.17	5	\$179	\$100	5	7.83	Fxisting
Small Business Direct Install	Induction Lighting	229 W Metal Halide	96 W Induction lamp	Per Lamp	452	0	0.04	18	\$196	99\$	20	1.50	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Integral Screw In CFL ¹⁴	79.3 W Incandescent bulb	19.1 W CFL	Per Bulb	180	0	0.02	4	\$11	\$6	000 2	1.76	Existing
Small Business Direct Install		6W/ft Neon	1.2 W/ft LED	Per Linear Ft	25	0	0.00	10	\$10	\$2	100	1.05	Pending
Small Business Direct install		56W Incandescent	7W CFL	Per Lamp	162	0	0.02	01	\$47	\$13	200	1.43	New
Small Business Direct Install		No covers	Covers	Per Lin feet	175	0	0.00	4	\$38	\$6	20	69.0	Suspend
Small Business Direct Install	Occupancy sensors	no sensors	occupancy sensors	Per sensor	442	0	90'0	12	\$105	\$43	300	1.87	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Outdoor CFL	112 W incand.	25 W CFL	Per Lamp	382	0	0.02	s	\$9	\$15	1,000	4.07	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Premium T8 Lighting ¹⁶	T12 Lamps	Premium T8 Lamps	Per Lamp	61	0	0.01	15	\$16	\$7	10,000	1.90	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Programmable Thermostats	non-programmable	programmable	Per Unit	4,096	0	0.00	11	\$204	\$366	350	4.34	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	1,200	0	0.17	12	\$199	\$117	25	2.44	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Reduced LPD	1.21 W/sqft	1.09 W/sqft	per building	13,283	0	1.74	12	\$4,472	\$1,294	3	1.46	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Refrigerated Display Automatic Door Closers standard doors	standard doors	Automatic Door Closers	Per Door	3,535	0	0.49	5	\$142	\$143	25	3.47	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Refrigerated Display Gaskets	no action	Replace Gaskets	Per Linear Ft	104	0	0.01	3	\$12	\$3	25	1.28	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Screw in cold cathode CFL	51 W Incandescent bulb	7W CFL	Per Bulb	194	0	0.01	9	\$12	6\$	25	2.77	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Shade Screens	no screens	shading coeff: 0.24	Per Sq Ft	16	0	0.01	10	\$4	\$1	25	2.23	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Snack Ctrls ("vending miser")	no controls	occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	322	0	0.04	12	\$103	\$31	25	1.55	Pending
Small Business Direct Install	Standard T8 Lighting ¹⁶	T12 Lamps	Standard T8 Lamps	Per Lamp	31	0	0.00	15	\$17	\$4	10,000	1.14	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Strip Curtains	No curtains	Curtains	Per Sq feet	478	0	0.07	4	\$10	\$16	25	4.23	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	T8 to T8	standard T8	premium T8	Per Fixure	99	0	0.00	15	\$59	\$0	0	0.78	Suspend
Small Business Direct Install	d Drives			per HP	2,317	0	98'0	15	\$377	\$282	125	2.83	Existing
Small Business Direct Install	Window Films	no film	shading coeff: 0.578	Per Sq Ft	8	0	0.00	15	\$3	\$1	30	2.49	Pending

New, Existing, Suspended	Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing	Existing
Societal Test	0.00	2.03	1.22	1.69	1.33	4.57
2014 Participation	1	20	20	20	1,000	3
Non-incent Program Cost/Unit	\$0	\$1,986	\$1,047	\$382	0\$	\$29,236
Incremental Cost/Unit	0\$	\$86'5\$	\$5,944	\$1,459	\$2	\$20,800
Effective Useful Life	20	15	15	15	20	07
Caincident Demand Saved	0.00	98'9	3.61	1.32	0.00	75.71
Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	0	0		0	0	0
Annual kwh Saved	0	14,131	7,448	2,718	2	156,000
Unit Description		Per Unit	Per Unit	Per Unit	Per sq ft	Per Customer
EE Case Description						20% savings
		10.8 EER	11.1 EER	11.6 EER	SHGC = .27	20% 58
Basectase Description		9.5 EER	9.3 EER	10.0 EER	standard glazing	Baseline Building
Messure Name	Design Assistance Incentives to Design teams	EER Rated Packaged AC (> 20tons ,10.9 EER) 9.5 EER	EER Rated Packaged AC (11.5 - 20 tons ,11.24 EER)	EER Rated Packaged AC (5.4 - 11.25 tons 11.36 EER)	High Perf Glazing	Assistance ¹⁷
Exhibit 2- Section 10	Commercial New Construction	Commercial New Construction	Commercial New Construction	Commercial New Construction	Commercial New Construction	Commercial New Construction

2. Section 1.2 Particular publishing particular publishing particular publishing per communications Particular publishing per communications Particular publishing per communications Particular publishing per communications Particular publishing Particular	Exhibit 2- Section 11	Wessure Name	Baserase Description	Et case Description	Unit Description	Annual KWh Saved Sa	Annual Ges	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective	Incomental Cost/Unit	Non-incent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	Societal Text	New, Edsting, Sussended
Section 12 Section 12 Section 13 Section 12 Section 13 Section 12 Section 13 Sec			baseline building	Bid projects	per customer	8	0	H	10	\$80,000	\$63,464	-	3	Pending
2-Section 13 2-Se	bit 2- Section 12							Oincident	-	Incremental	Notelbeau Program	***************************************		New, Existing
1.5 Section 1.3 Control 1.5 Control 1.	iame	e Name	baseline building	th case prescription custom actions	per 100K sqft	8	0 0	97.06	10	\$29,333	\$14,615	Participation 4	3.50	Suspended Pending
15.518 Packaged and Spit ACC ¹² SEER 13 SEER 14 Per Unit 715 151	ibit 2- Section 13		Bazecasa Description	EF case Description	Soft Description					ficemental Cost/finit	Non-Incent Program Cost Amin	Z014		New, Existing.
15 SERP Packaged and Spile Left**, 2 SER 13 SER 14 Per Unit 756 0 0.34					Per Unit	489	0		15	\$440	\$65	5	23	Pending
15.512 Packaged and Spite MC, 15 SERE 13 SERE 15 Per Unit 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			SEER 13	SEER 14	Per Unit	764	0	0.18	15	\$440	\$102	s	1.27	Pending
15.5ERF Packaged and Spill ktp ² SERR 13 SERR 15 Fee Unit 1, 225 0 0.47 15.5ERF Packaged and Spill ktp ² SERR 13 SERR 15 SER			SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	913	0	0.34	15	\$880	\$122	5	0.93	Pending
16.55ER Peckaged and Spilt Acc ²⁴ SERB 13 SERB 15 Per Unit 1,123 0 0.47 Advanced Power Strips - Lond Servicor Strips - Linear Plus Strips - Linear Strips			SEER 13	SEER 15	Per Unit	1,525	0	0.34	15	\$880	\$203	Ŋ	1.26	Pending
Advanced Power Strips - Coccupancy Sensors Standard strips Smart Strips - Timer Play Sensor 213 0 0.012 Advanced Power Strips - Load Sensor Standard strips Smart Strips - Load Per Sensor 1135 0 0.012 Advanced Power Strips - Coccupancy Sensors Standard strips Smart Strips - Load Per Sensor 1,500 0 0.02 Beverage Citic ("verding mise") no controls Coccupancy sensors Per Sensor 1,500 0 0.02 Custom Measures no controls Coccupancy sensors Per Sensor 1,500 0 0.02 Custom Measures no controls Coccupancy sensors Per Sensor 1,500 0 0.02 Custom Measures no controls Coccupancy sensors Per Sensor 1,500 0 0.02 Delamping Read-back Remove Tis and T122 Per Picture 1,508 0 0.02 Hard Vine Citi Sensor Meat Hailde Sensor Meat Hail			SEER 13		Per Unit	1,283	0	0.47	15	\$1,321	\$171	י א	0.88	Pending
Advanced Power Strips - Locad Sersor 1215 10 10 11 Advanced Power Strips - Locad Sersor 1215 1215 1215 1215 Beverage City ("vending miser") 10 1215		Т	standard strine	Smart String - Timer	Dar Sensor	2,12		, ,	2 2	\$1,521	2504	7	7.07	rending
Advanced Power Strips - Occupancy Sentors Stands Strips - Occupancy Sentors Strips - Occupancy Sento		Т	standard strips	Smart String - Load	Der Sensor	118		20.0	77 :	623	\$23	£ #	3.07	Fending
benerage Cirls ("vending miser") no controls occupancy servors Per Sensor 1,610 0 0 Custom Massures no action custom actions custom actions per customer 6,3450 0 0.23 Delannying controls no action day/githting controls 18x and 11.2x Remove Res and 11.3x Per Fixture 1,825 0 0.022 Hard Wire Ctt ¹ 18x and 11.2x Remove T8x and 11.3x Per Fixture 1,825 0 0.022 Hard Wire Ctt ¹ 150 Wherlat Halide 253 W 15778s Per Bulb 1,885 0 0.02 HIDs to 18/17-1 Interior 555W Neclat Halide 253 W 15778s Per Bulb 1,009 0 0.03 Lib Indoor URL 18/17-1 Interior 555W Neclat Halide 253 W 15778s Per Bulb 152 0 0.03 Lib Indoor URL 18/17-1 Interior 555W Neclat Halide 253 W 15778s Per Bulb 152 0 0.03 Lib Indoor CTL 112 Wireards 555W Neclat Halide 553 W Neclat Halide 553 W		Sensors	standard strips	Smart Strips - Occupancy	Per Sensor	170		0.02	12	\$75	\$18	45	1.10	Pending Pending
Designating controls no action custom actions per customer 65,359 0 13.56 Deshippiting controls The controls daylighting controls Rev kW 1,835 0 0.23 Deshippiting controls The control 13s Rev kW 1,835 0 0.23 Energy efficient exit signs Incandescent bulb Rev Fixture 132 0 0.02 Hibs to 18y7s - Exerior 569W Metal Hailed 283 W TS/TSs Per Fixture 1,099 0 0.00 Hibs to 18y7s - Interior 569W Metal Hailed 283 W TS/TSs Per Bulb 1,099 0 0.00 Induct to 18y1r - Interior 569W Metal Hailed 283 W TS/TSs Per Bulb 1,91 0 0.03 Induct to 18y1r - Interior 256W Metal Hailed 283 W TS/TSs Per Bulb 1,91 0 0.03 LED Indoor Lights 256W Notest Hailed 283 W TS/TSs Per Bulb 1,91 0 0.03 LED Indoor Lights 258W W Metal Hailed 260 W Incurdescent bulb 194 W CFI Per Bulb <td></td> <td>verage Ctrls ("vending miser")</td> <td></td> <td>occupancy sensors</td> <td>Per Sensor</td> <td>1,610</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>12</td> <td>\$199</td> <td>\$172</td> <td>50</td> <td>2.74</td> <td>Pending</td>		verage Ctrls ("vending miser")		occupancy sensors	Per Sensor	1,610	0	0.20	12	\$199	\$172	50	2.74	Pending
Daylighting controls Incentrols daylighting controls deck white 1,825 0 0.23 Delamping Incandescent/CFI sign Remove 18s and 11.2s Per Fixture 1551 0 0.02 Hard Wite CFI Maching Incandescent bulb 16 D Sign Per Fixture 192 0 0.02 Hard Wite CFI Maching 12 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.03 HIDs to T8/15 - Exterior 565W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.03 Induction Lighting 12.29 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.03 Induction Lighting 12.29 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.03 Induction Lighting 12.29 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,099 0 0.03 Incurrent Lighting 12.29 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/78s Per Fixture 1,099 0 0.03 Incurrent Service 12.20 W Metal Halide 253 W 15/7				custom actions	per customer	63,369	0	13.56	10	\$6,535	\$5,631	7	2.94	Pending
Delamping Rist and T12s Remove T8s and T12s Per Fixture 151 0 Finding efficient exit signs Incandescent/CFL sign LED sign Per Inture 192 0 0.02 HIDs to T8/T5 - Exerior 555W Metal Hailde 263 W Incandescent bulb 0.03 0.03 HIDs to T8/T5 - Interior 565W Metal Hailde 263 W Incandescent bulb 263 W Incandescent bulb 264 W Induction lapting 0.03 0.03 Induction Lighting 120 W Metal Hailde 268 W Induction lapting 267 W Induction lapting 0.01 0.03 Induction Lighting 120 W Metal Hailde 268 W Induction lapting 268 W Induction lapting 0.01 0.03 Induction Lighting 55 W W Induction lapting 54 W Induction lapting 267 W Induction lapting 0.01 0.03 Occupancy sensors 100 W Induction lapting 112 W Incandescent bulb 124 W Incandescent bulb 124 W Incandescent bulb 125 W Incandescent bulb 125 W Incandescent bulb 125 W Incandescent bulb 125 W Incandescent bulb					Per kW	1,825	0	0.23	15	\$751	\$243	100	1.41	Pending
Frerfy efficient exit signs Incandescent/CFL sign LED sign Per fixture 192 0.02 Hand WITS - Exterior 565W Metal Halide 263 W 157T8s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.00 HIDs to 18/TS - Exterior 565W Metal Halide 263 W 157T8s Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.00 Induction lighting 129 W Metal Halide 568 W 167T8 Per Bulb 0.01 0.00 Integral Screw in CFL M 79.3 W Incandescent bulb 19.1 W CFL Per Bulb 199 0 0.00 Cocupanny sensors 1 10 door Ughts 11.2 W Incandescent bulb 79.1 W CFL Per Bulb 162 0 0.00 Premium 18 Lighting 16 11.2 Lamps Per Bulb Per Bulb 162 0 0.00 Premium 18 Lighting 18 11.2 Lamps Per Fixture 452 0 0.00 Premium 18 Lighting 18 11.2 Lamps Per Fixture 5.688 0 0.00 Reach in Cooler Controls ("Vending Miser") 12.2 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft Per Fixture 5.688 0			T	Remove T8s and T12s	Per Fixture	151	0	0.02	15	\$6	\$20	750	4.38	Pending
Hand Wire CH1** 73 W Incandescent bulb 15 W CFL Per Bulb 188 0 0.02 In Ilbs to TSI/TS - Exterior 565W Moretal Hailde 280 W TSI/TS Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.00 In Ilbs to TSI/TS - Interior 565W Moretal Hailde 280 W Induction lamp Per Fixture 1,089 0 0.01 In Induction Lighting 122W Metal Hailde 280 W Induction lamp Per Bulb 451 0 0.03 Integral Screw in CFI ⁴⁴ 79.3 W Incandescent bulb 19.1 W CFI Per Bulb 152 0 0.03 Occupancy sensors ¹² In O sensors 122 W M Incandescent bulb 7W CFI Per Bulb 162 0 0.03 Occupancy sensors ¹² In O sensors 122 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFI Per Bulb 162 0 0.03 Occupancy sensors ¹² 122 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFI Per Bulb 162 0 0.03 Premium T8 Lighting ¹⁶ 172 Lamps 1.09 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft 1.0431 0 0.01 Reach-in Co			Т		Per fixture	192	0	0.02	16	\$55	\$27	150	1.87	Pending
HIDS to 18,175 - Exterior SoSW Metal Hailde 283 W 15/1 635 Per Intuite 1,005 U.D.					Per Bulb	188	0 0	0.02	4	\$16	9\$	750	1.50	Pending
Induction Lighting 129 W Metal Hailet 56 W Induction lamp Per Lamp 411 0 0.06 Integral Screw in CPL** 79.3 W Incandescent bulb 19.1 W CFL Per Bulb 162 0.03 Occupancy sensors 2				263 W 15/18s	Per Fixture	1,009	9 6	0.00	81 8	\$101	\$174	250	3.20	Pending
Integral Screw in CEL ¹⁴ 79.3 W Incandescent bulb 19.1 W CFL Per Bulb 199 0 0.03 ED Indoor Lights 56 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFL Per Bulb 152 0 0.02 Octupancy sensors 2 no sensors no sensors ccupancy sensors Per Bulb 152 0 0.06 Outdoor CFL 11.2 W incand. 23 W CFL Per Inim Per Inim 382 0 0.06 Premium T8 Lighting. ¹⁶ 172 Lamps Premium T8 Lamps Per Fixture 382 0 0.00 0.01 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") non-programmable Premium T8 Lamps Per Sensor 1,20 0.01 0.01 Reduced LPD Reduced LPD 1.21 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft 0 0.01 0.01 Screw in cold cathode CFL 51 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFL Per Bulb 1.94 0 0.01 Shade Screens 5 Snack Ctris ("Vending Miser") no screens standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 1.5 0					Per Lamp	451	0	90.0	18	\$147	\$72	25	1.85	Pending
LED Indoor Lights 56 W Incandessent bulb 7W CFL Per Bulb 152 0 0.02 Occupancy sensors** Occupancy sensors** 112 W incand. 25 W CFL Per Sensor 462 0 0.06 Outdoor CFL 112 W incand. 25 W CFL Per lamp 382 0 0.06 Premium T8 Lighting** 1712 Lamps Premium T8 Lamps Per Fixture 382 0 0.00 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") non-programmable Premium T8 Lamps Per Junit 5,688 0 0.01 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") 1.20 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft per building 10,431 0 0.15 Screw in cold cathode CFL 51 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFL Per Building 119,431 0 0.00 Shade Screens Snack Ctris ("Vending Miser") no screens standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 Standard T8 Lighting** 132 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 T8 to T8		FL ¹⁴			Per Bulb	199	0	0.03	4	\$11	9\$	150	1.95	Pending
Occupancy sensors Doctopancy sensors Occupancy sensors Occupancy sensors Per sensor 6 Esensor 452 0 0.06 Outdoor CFL 112 Wincand. 25 W CFL Per lamp 832 0 0.00 Premium T8 Lighting. ¹⁶ 112 Lamps Premium T8 Lamps Per fixture 48 0 0.01 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") non-programmable programmable Per unit 5,688 0 0.00 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") no controls 1.20 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft per building 1,120 0 0.15 Screw in cold cathode CFL 51 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFL Per Building 10,431 0 0.00 Shade Screens Shade Screens standard T8 Lighting. ¹⁶ 112 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 Standard T8 Lighting. ¹⁶ 18 to T8 121 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 T8 to T8 T8 to T8 T3 Lamps Per Lamp 2322					Per Bulb	162	0	0.02	10	\$47	\$14	25	1.39	New
Outdoor CFL 1.12 W incand. 25 W CFL Fed Lamp Fed Lamp Fed Lamp Fed Lamp Per Fixture 382 0 0.00 Premium T8 Lighting is 712 Lamps Premium T8 Lamps Per Fixture 48 0 0.00 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") nor-programmable programmable Per Sinsor 1,200 0 0.15 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") 1.22 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft 1.09 W/sqft per Bulb 0 0.13 Screw in cold cathode CFL 51 W Incandescent bulb 7W CFL Per Bulb 1.94 0 0.00 Shade Screens shade Screens standard T8 Lighting is 112 Lamps Standard T8 Lamp Per Sensor 322 0 0.00 T8 to T8 standard T8 Lighting is standard T8 Lamps per HPP 2,312 0 0.00 Wariable Speed Drives to VSD VSD per HPP 2,312 0 0.00		ensors ¹²		cy sensors	Per sensor	462	0	90.0	12	\$103	\$49	25	1.91	Pending
Premium is Lighting		16			rer Lamp	387	5	0.00	5	65	\$17	200	3.78	Pending
Programmable Intermostats non-programmable programmable Programmable Per unit 5,688 0 0.00 Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser") 1,21 W/sqft 1,090 W/sqft 0 1,21 0 0.15 Reduced LPD 1,21 W/sqft 1,090 W/sqft 1,090 W/sqft 0 0 1,32 Snew in cold cathode CFL 51 W Incandescent bulls 7W CFL Per Building 10,431 0 0.00 Shade Screens standard Streens standard Streens 0				Ī	Per rexture	48	5	0.01	+	\$16	95	750	1.61	Pending
Reduced LPD Reach-in Cooler Lontrols Veraching Rised* 1.21 W/sgrt 1.09 W/sgr			mmable		Per unit	889'5	0	00.00	=	\$204	9225	250	3.36	Pending
Street Control Carbode CFL ST W Management bulls 7W CFL Per Bulls 194 0 0.00		er condois (venuing miser		ensors	rei sensor	10.431	5 6	133	1 5	\$199	\$128	og ,	25.7	Pending
Shade Screens Oscreens In occupancy serisors Standing Log Fr. 16 0 0.01 Shade Circle ("Vending Miser") no controls occupancy serisors Per Sensor 322 0 0.04 Standard T8 Lighting 16 T32 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 T8 to T8 standard T8 per mium T8 Per Lamp 154 0 0.00 Variable Speed Drives no V5D V5D per HP 2,312 0 0.31					Per Bulb	194	0	0.00	9	\$12	\$10,212	100	2.54	Pending
Snack Ctris ("Vending Miser") no controls occupancy sensors Per Sensor 322 0 0.04 Standard T8 Lighting ¹⁶ T32 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0 0.00 T8 to T8 standard T8 per mium T8 Per Lamp 154 0 0.00 Variable Speed Drives no V5D V5D per HP 2,312 0 0.31				coeff: 0.24	Per Sq Ft	16	0	0.01	10	¥	\$1	20	1.97	Pending
Standard T8 Lighting ¹⁶ T32 Lamps Standard T8 Lamps Per Lamp 26 0.00 T8 to T8 standard T8 per lamp 154 0.00 Variable Speed Drives no VSD VSD VSD per HP 2,312 0 0.31		ending Miser")			Per Sensor	322	0	0.04	12	\$103	\$34	20	1.49	Pending
T8 to T8 standard T8 premium T8 Per Lamp 154 0 0.00 Variable Speed Drives no VSD VSD per HP 2,312 0 0.31					Per Lamp	26	0	00:0	15	\$17	\$3	750	1.00	Pending
Variable Speed Orives no VSD VSD per HP 2,312 0 0.31			d T8		Per Lamp	154	0	00:0	15	\$21	0\$	0	5.08	Suspend
					per HP	2,312	Ó	0.31	15	\$377	\$308	250	2.61	Pending
Window Films no film shading coeff: 0.578 8 0 0.00	School Facilities Wir		no film	shading coeff: 0.578		8	0	0.00	15	\$3	\$1	40	2.17	Pending

New, Existing, Suspended	Existing
Societal Test	1.53
2014 Participation	40,000
Non-Incent Program Cost/Unit	6\$
ncremental Cost/Unit	0\$
Effective Useful Life	1
Coincident Demand Saved	0.04
Annual Gas Saved (Therms)	
Annuel KWh Saved	225
Unit Description	er customer
E case Description	1.5 % savings Pe
Baserave Description	o action
Measure Name	Home Energy Reports
Exhibit 2- Section 14 Program Name	Home Energy Reports

Exhibit 2- Section 15													
Program Name	Measure Name	Biseçase Description	EE case Description	Gnit Description	Annual kWh As Saved Save	mual Gas d (Therms)	Coincident Demand Saved	Effective It	Incremental Cost/Unit	Non-incent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	Societal Test	New, Existing, Suspended
Behavioral Comprehensive	CFL Promotion (13W CFLs) ¹⁸	no action	13W CFLs	Per Home	58	0	0.002	9	\$3	\$7	30,000	1.82	Pending
			Faucet Aerator, LED										
Behavioral Comprehensive	Community Education Kit 18	no action	nightlight	Per Home	183	19	0.01	6	\$56	\$32	200	2.10	Pending
Behavioral Comprehensive	Direct Canvasing Kit ¹⁸	no action	2 CFLs	Per Home	58	0	0.002	9	\$3	\$7	7,500	1.83	Pending
Behavioral Comprehensive	In Home Energy Display Pilot	no action	Home Energy Display 2.5%	Per Home	268	0	0.04	5	\$70	\$26	1,200	0.83	Suspend
			(2)13W CFL, (1)18W CFLs,										
Behavioral Comprehensive	K-12 Education Kit	no action	Shower, Aerator, NiteLite	Per Home	220	19	0.01	6	\$20	\$38	6,700	3.46	Pending

Exhibit 2- Section 16													
Program Name	Mashure Name	* Basecasa Description	Et case Description	Take Testingtion	Annual kWfn Ann Saved Saved	nual Gas d (Therms)	Caincident Demand Saved	Effective Useful Life	Incremental Cost/Unit	Non-lazent Program Cost/Unit	2014 Participation	50cietat Test	New, Existing, suspended
	Residential NC - Codes and Standards												
ECSEP	Support	No Support	With Support (100%)	Incremental	2,962,233	0	720.00	1	\$0	\$29,479	1	5.80	Pending
ECSEP	Motors - Codes and Standards Support	No Support	With Support (100%)	incremental	1,442,676	0	108.00	1	0\$	\$14,357	1	69.5	Pending
	General Service CFL's - Codes and Standards												
ECSEP	Support	No Support	With Support (100%)	incremental	24,460,884	0	285.00	1	\$0	\$243,425	1	5.65	Pending
ECSEP	T-8's - Codes and Standards Support	No Support	With Support (100%)	Incremental	3,762,867	0	80.00	1	\$0	\$37,447	1	5.66	Pending

	New, Existin Suspend	New	New	New	New
	Societal Test	4.30	2.51	4.55	4.30
	2014 articipation	1	1	1	1
	Non-incent Program Cost/Unit P	\$0.18	\$0.18	\$0.18	\$0.18
	ncremental Cost/Unit	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$
	Effective Useful Life	15	15	15	15
	Caincident Demand Saved	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Annual Gas aved (Therms)	0	0	0	0
	Annual kWh Saved	634,687	365,473	662,899	637,371
	Unit Description	PerkWh	Per kWh	Per kWh	Per kWh
	EE case Description	ith Regulation	With Regulation	ith Regulation	With Regulation
	Basecase Description	No Regulation	No Regulation W	No Regulation W	o Regulation W
	Measure Name	DREX 34	DREX 35	DREX 36	NEX 44
Exhibit 2- Section 17	Program Name	Conservation Voltage Reduction D	Conservation Voltage Reduction D	Conservation Voltage Reduction D	Conservation Voltage Reduction DREX 44

E case Description Unit Description
EE case Description Unit Description

	New, Existing, Suspended	Existing
	cietal Test	NA
	14 pation So	0
	2014 Participat	2 20
	n-Incent ogram st/Unit	\$77,972
	No al P	0\$
	ncrement. Cost/Unit	
	ctive I	1
	nt J Effe Usefi	
	Coincide Demant Saved	20,000
	ual Gas (Therms)	0
	An And Saved	,112
	Annual kV Saved	20,453,
	escription	ings
	Unit Descrip	er MW sav
	Hon	se.
	case Description	rol measu
	EE CO	load cont
	ription	
	ecase Desc	_
	Bass	no actic
		mmercial ¹⁹
	e Name	r targe Co
	Measur	Control fo
		irect Load
61		ר
Section 1	n Name	ntrol
chibit 2-	Program	ct Load Co
ű		C&I Dire

New baseline to meet EISA standards; new incremental costs based on 2012 actuals

Updated incremental cost and savings. Measure was not cost effective in 2012, however TEP is now requesting approval based on updated information.

New baseline from single speed to two speed based on 2012 code update

Footnote 2

Footnote Footnote⁵ Footnote⁶ Footnote

Updated Incremental Cost with new contract from IC

Program re-design due to adoption of 2012 IECC. RNC now requires <= 65 HERS and update of incremental cost - No Tiers beginning 2014 Split measure to show All Electric separate from Dual Fuel

Suspend On-site Audit and all related direct install measures

Suspended ROB as the equipment section of this measures was no longer cost effective. New HVAC/QI will replace ROB option.

Suspended all measures not supported by testing for savings results

Energy and demand savings provided by Governor's Office of Energy Policy Program re-design based on APS methodology

Footnote 10 Footnote¹¹

Footnote⁷

Footnote⁸ Footnote Updated incremental cost from cost study completed as part of 2012 MER

Updated base efficiency to NEMA; updated EE efficiency; formula to reflect base efficiency and EE efficiency and updated incremental costs to reflect 2012/2013 cost study Footnote ¹² Footnote 13 Updated Measure Life based on Energy Star Bulb average hours and 2012 actual hours of operation for TEP and updated incremental cost based on 2012/2013 incremental cost study

Updated Load Shape and Measure Avoided Costs (\$/kWh); changed application from RET to ROB which removes labor portion of incremental cost Updated incremental Cost from Cost Study completed in 2012/2013and savings estimate from EISA Footnote 15 Footnote¹⁶

To be re-designed due to adoption of 2012 IECC

Footnote¹⁷

Footnote 18 Footnote¹⁹

Footnote¹⁴

Updated CFL Promotion to 13 Watt lamps due to EISA Standards

Energy savings represents the 'credit' for 2014 allowed in the EE Rules

Exhibit 3

EXHIBIT 3			
Commercial Measures	C&I	Small Business	Schools
Measure List	Decision No. and Date	Decision No. and Date	Decision No. and Date
14 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
14 SEER Packaged and Split HP's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
15 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
15 SEER Packaged and Split HP's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
16 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
16 SEER Packaged and Split HP's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
17 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
17 SEER Packaged and Split HP's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
18 SEER Packaged and Split AC's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
18 SEER Packaged and Split HP's	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
Advanced Power Strips - Occupancy Sensors	Pending	Pending	Pending
Advanced Power Strips - Timer Plug Strip	Pending	Pending	Pending
Advanced Power Strips - Load Sensor	Pending	Pending	
Air Cooled Chillers < 150 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	rending	Pending
Air Cooled Chillers > 150 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Anti sweat heater controls	<u> </u>	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	
Beverage Ctrls ("vending miser")	No. 70403 (7/3/2008) No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Donation.
CO Sensors		Pending	Pending
CO2 Sensors	Pending		
	Pending		
Coin Operated Washers (Proposed)	Pending		
Coin Operated Washers (Proposed)	Pending		
Cooling Tower Subcooling	Pending		
Custom Measures	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
Daylighting controls	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
Delamping 	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
Economizers	Pending		
EER Rated Packaged AC (< 5 tons ,11.36 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
EER Rated Packaged AC (> 20tons ,10.9 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
EER Rated Packaged AC (11.5 - 20 tons ,11.24 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
EER Rated Packaged AC (5.4 - 11.25 tons ,11.36 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
EER Rated Packaged HP (< 5 tons ,11.36 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
EER Rated Packaged HP (> 20 tons ,11.11 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
EER Rated Packaged HP (11.25 - 20 tons ,11.02 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
EER Rated Packaged HP (5.4 - 11.25 tons ,11.31 EER)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Efficient Compressors	Pending		
Efficient Condensers	Pending		
EMS - Lighting Schedule	Pending		
Energy efficient exit signs	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
Energy efficient ODP motors	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Energy Efficient TEFC Motors	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Evaporative fan controls		No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	
Floating Head Pressure Controls	Pending		
Green Motor Rewind	Pending		
Heat Pump Water Heaters - Tier 1	Pending		
Heat Pump Water Heaters - Tier 2	Pending		
Hard Wire CFL	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
HIDs to T8/T5 - Exterior	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
HIDs to T8/T5 - Interior	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
High Efficiency Evaporator Fan Motors (PSC)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
High Efficiency Evaporator Fan Motors (ECM)	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	

Exhibit 3

EXHIBIT 3			
Commercial Measures	C&I	Small Business	Schools
Measure List	Decision No. and Date	Decision No. and Date	Decision No. and Date
High Efficiency Ice Makers	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
High Efficiency Reach-in Refrigerators and Freezers	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
High Perf Glazing	Pending		
Induction Lighting	Pending	Pending	Pending
Integrated Case Control and Motor Retro-fit	7000	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	
Integral Screw In CFL	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	
LED Channel Signs	Pending	Pending	
LED Indoor Lights	Pending		
LED Pedestrian Signals	Pending		
LED Street and Parking Lights	Pending		
LED Traffic Lights	Pending		
Refrigeration LED Strip Lighting	New	New	New
Canopy LED Lighting	New	New	New
Computer Power Monitoring System	New	New	New
Night Covers	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		New
Occupancy sensors	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
Outdoor CFL	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	Pending	Pending
Premium T8 Lighting	Pending	Pending	Pending
Programmable Thermostats	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
PTAC	Pending		renung
PTHP	Pending		
Refrigerated Display Automatic Door Closers	Pending	Pending	
Refrigerated Display Gaskets	Pending	Pending	-
Reach-in Cooler Controls ("vending miser")	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Reduced LPD		Pending	Pending
Screw in cold cathode CFL	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
Shade Screens	Pending	Pending	Pending
Snack Controls ("vending miser")	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	Pending	Pending
Standard T8 Lighting	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	No. 70457 (8/6/2008)	Pending
Strip Curtains	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)	(-, -,,	Pending
T8 to T8	Pending	Pending	Pending
Variable Speed Drives	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		Pending
Variable Speed Screw Compressor	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal < 150 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		_
Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal > 300 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Centrifugal 150 - 299 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Reciprocating All Sizes	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw < 150 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw > 300 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Water Cooled Chillers - Screw 150 - 299 tons	No. 70403 (7/3/2008)		
Window Films	Pending	Pending	Pending